Crypto Engineering Hash functions & MACs

2021-10-01

Exercise 1: Meet-in-the-middle preimage attack on BRSS/PGV-13 + MD

BRSS/PGV-13 is an alternative to Davies-Meyer, defined as $f(h,m) = \mathcal{E}(m,h) \oplus c$ for a cipher \mathcal{E} and with c a constant. It can be shown in the ideal cipher model that a Merkle-Damgård function with such a compression function is secure up to the birthday bound for both collision *and* preimage attacks (Black & al., 2010).

Q. 1 If \mathcal{E} is ideal, what is the cost, given h and t, of finding m such that f(h,m) = t? Conclude about the preimage security of f itself.

A meet-in-the-middle preimage attack on a function $H_{x,y} = F_x \circ G_y$ aims at finding xand y s.t. $H_{x,y}(IV) = t$, where t is a given target. It works by splitting the computation of H into forward computations $G_{y_i}(IV)$ and backward computations $F_{x_1}^{-1}(t)$ for many candidate values x_i, y_i .

Q. 2 We assume that $F_x, G_y, H_{x,y}$ all behave as random functions and have signature $\{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$.

- 1. What is the probability over y that $G_y(IV) = \alpha \in \{0,1\}^n$? Does this probability depend on α ?
- 2. What is the probability over y that $G_y(IV) \in S \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, \#S = q$?
- 3. How many candidate values x_i and y_i should (roughly) be selected to minimize the time cost of the attack?
- 4. What is the total time and memory cost of the attack (assuming that you can use a data structure with constant access time)?

Q. 3 Show how to compute a two-block preimage for \mathcal{H} with the above compression function, using a meet-in-the-middle attack.

Q. 3 Give a rough explanation of how the attack of the previous question is prevented when using a Davies-Meyer compression function.

Exercise 2: SuffixMAC

Let $\mathcal{H} = \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^n$ be a (usual, narrow-pipe) Merkle-Damgård hash function. We define SuffixMAC : $\{0,1\}^{\kappa} \times \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^n$ associated with \mathcal{H} as SuffixMAC $(k,m) = \mathcal{H}(m||k)$.

Q. 1

- 1. What is the generic average complexity of finding a collision (m, m') for \mathcal{H} ?
- 2. Does this complexity change if one requires m and m' to be of the same length $\ell > n$?
- **Q. 2** Let (m, m') be a colliding pair for \mathcal{H} where m and m' have the same length.
 - 1. Give an existential forgery attack for SuffixMAC with query cost 1.
 - 2. What is the total cost of this attack if one has to compute (m, m')?
 - 3. Is this attack "meaningful" if $\kappa < n/2$? What if $\kappa = n$?

 ${\bf Q.~3}$ What comments can you make about instantiating ${\tt SuffixMAC}$ in the following ways:

- 1. \mathcal{H} is taken to be SHA-256, $\kappa = 256$?
- 2. \mathcal{H} is taken to be SHA-512, $\kappa = 256$?
- 3. \mathcal{H} is taken to be SHA-512/256, $\kappa = 256$?

Exercise 3: Raw CBC-MAC

Let CBC-ENC(k, IV, m) denote CBC encryption of the message m and initial value IV with a block cipher $\mathcal{E} : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^k \to \{0, 1\}^n$. We define CBC-MAC(k, m) as the last output block of $CBC-ENC(k, 0^n, m)$.

Q. 1 Does the fact that CBC-MAC uses a constant IV 0^n in its call to CBC-ENC result in a security problem?

Q. 2 In this question, for the sake of simplicity, we assume that no padding is used by CBC-ENC.

Let $m_1 \in \{0,1\}^n$ denote a one-block message.

- 1. Give an explicit expression for $\tau_1 := CBC-MAC(k, m_1)$
- 2. Give an explicit expression for $\tau_2 := \text{CBC-MAC}(k, m_1 || (m_1 \oplus \tau_1))$
- 3. Deduce an existential forgery attack on CBC-MAC. What is its query and time cost?

Q. 3 We now define CBC-MAC' as CBC-MAC' $(k, m) = \mathcal{E}(k', \text{CBC-MAC}(k, m))$, where k' is a key independent from k.

Explain (roughly) why this additional processing prevents the above attack.