

# Crypto Engineering

## Hash functions & MACs 1

2018-10-04

### Exercise 1: SuffixMAC

Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  be a (usual, narrow-pipe) Merkle-Damgård hash function. We define  $\text{SuffixMAC} : \{0, 1\}^\kappa \times \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  associated with  $\mathcal{H}$  as  $\text{SuffixMAC}(k, m) = \mathcal{H}(m||k)$ .

#### Q. 1

1. What is the generic average complexity of finding a collision  $(m, m')$  for  $\mathcal{H}$ ?
2. Does this complexity change if one requires  $m$  and  $m'$  to be of the same length  $\ell > n$ ?

#### Q. 2 Let $(m, m')$ be a colliding pair for $\mathcal{H}$ .

1. Give an existential forgery attack for  $\text{SuffixMAC}$  with query complexity 1.
2. What is the total complexity of this attack if one has to compute  $(m, m')$ ?
3. Is this attack “meaningful” if  $\kappa < n/2$ ? What if  $\kappa = n$ ?

#### Q. 3 Do you believe that it is a good idea to instantiate $\text{SuffixMAC}$ in the following ways:

1.  $\mathcal{H}$  is taken to be SHA-256,  $\kappa = 256$ ?
2.  $\mathcal{H}$  is taken to be SHA-512,  $\kappa = 256$ ?
3.  $\mathcal{H}$  is taken to be SHA-512/256,  $\kappa = 256$ ?

#### Q. 4 Suggest a high-level strategy to prevent this attack on narrow-pipe functions.

(Hint: One MAC implementing this strategy is named after a historical figure who once had islands named after him.)

### Exercise 2: Raw CBC-MAC

Let  $\text{CBC-ENC}(k, IV, m)$  denote CBC encryption of the message  $m$  and initial value  $IV$  with a block cipher  $\mathcal{E} : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^k \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ . We define  $\text{CBC-MAC}(k, m)$  as the last output block of  $\text{CBC-ENC}(k, 0^n, m)$ .

#### Q. 1 Does the fact that CBC-MAC uses a constant IV $0^n$ in its call to CBC-ENC result in a security problem?

**Q. 2** In this question, for the sake of simplicity, we assume that no padding is used by CBC-ENC.

Let  $m_1 \in \{0, 1\}^n$  denote a one-block message.

1. Give an explicit expression for  $\tau_1 := \text{CBC-MAC}(k, m_1)$
2. Give an explicit expression for  $\tau_2 := \text{CBC-MAC}(k, m_1 || (m_1 \oplus \tau_1))$
3. Deduce an existential forgery attack on CBC-MAC. What is its query and time complexity?

**Q. 3** We now define CBC-MAC' as  $\text{CBC-MAC}'(k, m) = \mathcal{E}(k', \text{CBC-MAC}(k, m))$ , where  $k'$  is a key independent from  $k$ .

Explain (roughly) why this additional processing prevents the above attack.

### Exercise 3: Meet-in-the-middle preimage attack on BRSS/PGV-13 + MD

BRSS/PGV-13 is an alternative to Davies-Meyer, defined as  $f(h, m) = \mathcal{E}(m, h) \oplus c$  for a cipher  $\mathcal{E}$  and with  $c$  a constant. It can be shown in the ideal cipher model that a Merkle-Damgård function with such a compression function is secure up to the birthday bound for both collision *and* preimage attacks (Black & al., 2010).

**Q. 1** If  $\mathcal{E}$  is ideal, what is the complexity, given  $h$  and  $t$ , of finding  $m$  such that  $f(h, m) = t$ ? Conclude about the preimage security of  $f$  itself.

A *meet-in-the-middle* preimage attack on a function  $H_{x,y} = F_x \circ G_y$  aims at finding  $x$  and  $y$  s.t.  $H_{x,y}(\text{IV}) = t$ , where  $t$  is a given target. It works by splitting the computation of  $H$  into *forward computations*  $G_{y_i}(\text{IV})$  and *backward computations*  $F_{x_i}^{-1}(t)$  for many candidate values  $x_i, y_i$ .

**Q. 2** We assume that  $F_x, G_y, H_{x,y}$  all behave as random functions and have signature  $\{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ .

1. What is the probability over  $y$  that  $G_y(\text{IV}) = \alpha \in \{0, 1\}^n$ ? Does this probability depend on  $\alpha$ ?
2. What is the probability over  $y$  that  $G_y(\text{IV}) \in \mathcal{S} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$ ,  $\#\mathcal{S} = q$ ?
3. How many candidate values  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  should be selected to minimize the time complexity of the attack?
4. What is the total time and memory complexity of the attack (assuming that you can use a data structure with constant access time)?

**Q. 3** Show how to compute a two-block preimage for  $\mathcal{H}$  with the above compression function, using a meet-in-the-middle attack.

**Q. 3** Give a rough explanation of how the attack of the previous question is prevented when using a Davies-Meyer compression function.