Cryptology complementary Introduction

Pierre Karpman pierre.karpman@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr https://www-ljk.imag.fr/membres/Pierre.Karpman/tea.html

2020-02-13

Introduction

^{2020–02–13} 1/26

Main goals of this course: complement the other half

- Cover symmetric-key cryptography
- Introduce some implementation aspects (how do you do finite field arithmetic?...)

Organisation

- Course format: mix of lectures/TDs/TPs
- A contrôle continu evaluation (lab session)
- A final exam

Quick answer: it's about protecting secret data from adversaries

- In a communication (encrypted email, text messages; on the web; when paying by credit card)
- On a device (encrypted hard-drive)
- During a computation (online voting)
- Etc.

Crypto needs on various platforms

- High-end CPUs (Server/Desktop/Laptop computers,...)
- Mobile processors (Phones,...)
- Microcontrollers (Smartcards,...)
- Dedicated hardware (accelerating coprocessors, cheap chips,...)

Techno constraints

Varying contexts, varying requirements

- Speed (throughput)
- Speed (latency)
- Code/circuit size
- Energy/power consumption
- Protection v. physical attacks
- \Rightarrow Implementation plays a big part in crypto

Quick example

A protocol (e.g. TLS) uses among others

- A key exchange algorithm (e.g. Diffie-Hellman)
 "public-key" cryptography
 - instantiated with a secure group (e.g. ANSSI FRP256V1)
- An authenticated-encryption mode of operation (e.g. GCM)
 "symmetric-key" cryptography
 - instantiated with a secure block cipher (e.g. the AES)
- A digital signature algorithm (e.g. ECDSA)
 "public-key" + "symmetric-key" cryptography
 - instantiated with a secure group and a secure hash function (e.g. SHA-3)

Protocols can be complex



Figure: Part of the TLS state machine, Beurdouche et al., 2015

Introduction

- Designing new primitives/constructions(/protocols)
- Analysing existing primitives/...
- Deploying crypto in products
- Different goals, different techniques
 - Ad-hoc analysis, discrete mathematics, algorithmics
 - Computational number theory/algebraic geometry
 - Low-level implementation (assembly, hardware)
 - Formal methods
 - Following "good practice"

Many types of adversary

- Passive ("eavesdropper = Eve")
- Not passive, i.e. active
- With or w/o physical access
 - Side channels
 - Fault attacks
- With varying scenarios ("one-time" or long-term secret?)
- With varying objectives

Security objectives?

Introduction

²⁰²⁰⁻⁰²⁻¹³ **11/26**

Security objectives?

- Hard to find the "keys"
- Hard to find the message (confidentiality)
- Hard to change/forge a message (integrity/authenticity)

Etc.

Remark

Most of the time, one aims for *computational security*: it is always possible to break everything by spending "enough" time \rightsquigarrow just make sure that "enough" is "too much".

Example: indistiguishability (IND-CPA)

- Submit messages to an oracle O to be encrypted, & get the result
- 2 Choose, m_0 , m_1 of equal length; send both to \mathbb{O}
- 3 Receive $\mathbb{O}(m_b)$ for a random $b \in \{0, 1\}$
- **4** Goal: determine the value of b (better than by guessing)
- ▶ ^① has to be *randomized*

A code that's not IND-CPA



Figure: Calvin & Hobbes' code (Watterson)

Introduction

2020-02-13 13/26

Random numbers always needed

- To generate keys
- ▶ To generate *initialization vectors* (IVs) or *nonces*
- ▶ To generate random masks (to protect against some attacks)

► Etc.

Lead to severe vulnerabilities, several times. For instance:

- Debian's OpenSSL key generation (2006–2008)
- ▶ WWW RSA private keys with shared factors (Lenstra et al., 2012)
- Smartcard RSA w/ biased private keys (Bernstein et al., 2013)
- Smartcard RSA w/ biased private keys (Nemec et al., 2017)

Figure: XKCD's PRNG (Munroe)

Introduction



Figure: Dilbert's PRNG (Adams)

Very small Kolmogorov complexity!

- A basic idea (e.g. /dev/urandom)
 - ▶ Set up a "random" state (from e.g. physical sources)
 - Refresh it continuously as randomness comes by
 - Extract and filter when outputs are needed

Random numbers are all you need?

- A "perfect" encryption scheme, the one-time pad
 - **1** Let the message *m* be in $\{0,1\}^n$, *n* maybe large (say, 2⁴⁰)
 - **2** Let the key k be $\stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n$
 - 3 The ciphertext $c = m \oplus k$
 - Knowing c does not give information about m (see TD)

Problems:

- Integrity not guaranteed. So actually NOT perfect in presence of *active* adversaries (i.e. all the time)
- Needs very large keys
- Needs "perfect" randomness too!

A concrete alternative: stream ciphers

- **1** Let the message *m* be in $\{0,1\}^n$, *n* maybe large (say, 2⁴⁰)
- **2** Let the key (secret) k be $\stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^{\kappa}$, κ small (say 128)
- **B** Let the IV (public) i be $\stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^{\nu}$, ν small (say 128)
- 4 Let $\mathcal{E}: \{0,1\}^{\kappa} \times \{0,1\}^{\nu} \to \{0,1\}^{*}$ be a stream cipher
- **5** The ciphertext $c = m \oplus \lfloor \mathcal{E}(k,i) \rfloor_n$

Advantages

Small key, IV (Q: Why is an IV needed??)

"Problems"

- Still no integrity
- Not "perfect"

Some stream ciphers

- RC4 (simple, quite broken)
- E0 (original Bluetooth cipher, broken)
- Snow 3G, ZUC (in mobile phones)
- Trivium (small and beautiful)
- Chacha (trendy)
- AES in counter mode (easy)
- Examples of symmetric (-key) cryptography
- Examples of (cryptographically secure) pseudo-random number generators (PRNG)

Not stream ciphers

- random (3)
- MersenneTwister

- Block ciphers (encrypt "blocks"), e.g. AES
- Message authentication codes (MACs, check authenticity), e.g. {A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,K,L,M,N,O,P,Q,R,S,T,U,V,W,X,Z}MAC (For more on the topic, cf. https://www-ljk.imag.fr/ membres/Pierre.Karpman/JMAC.pdf)
- Hash functions (securely compress long messages to short digests), e.g. SHA-3

Also need, say, mode of operations (to get e.g. IND-CPA)

Not all primitives need a single secret key. One can also have

- Trapdoor permutations (easy to encrypt, hard to decrypt w/o the trapdoor), e.g. RSA
- Public key exchange, e.g. Diffie-Hellman
- ▶ Signatures, e.g. DSA

Assumptions

Public-key schemes usually depend on "cryptographic assumptions" (= hardness of some problems), e.g:

- ► Factorization of large numbers (¬PQ)
- ▶ Computing discrete logarithms in \mathbb{F}_q^* , $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$, ... (¬PQ)
- Decoding a noisy codeword of a random error-correcting code (PQ)
- Finding a short vector in a lattice (PQ)
- Solving a quadratic system of equations (PQ)
- "Inverting" hash functions (PQ)
- ► Etc.

Note: Assumptions can be attacked!

What are crypto keys like?

- Stream/Block cipher: a binary string
- Hash functions: Ø
- ▶ RSA: a prime number (secret), an integer (public)
- Diffie-Hellman: an integer (secret), a group element (public)
- Code-based: a (generating) matrix (of a code) (one secret, one public)
- Etc.

What should the secret/public key size be (in bits)?

- Stream ciphers?
- Block ciphers?
- RSA?
- Diffie-Hellman (well-chosen \mathbb{F}_q^{\times})?
- ▶ Diffie-Hellman (well-chosen $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$)?
- Code-based (McEliece, Binary Goppa codes)?

What should the secret/public key size be (in bits)?

- Stream ciphers: e.g. 128 bits (+ a large (e.g. 128 bits) IV necessary)
- Block ciphers: e.g. 128 bits
- RSA: e.g. 3072 bits
- ▶ Diffie-Hellman (well-chosen \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}): e.g. 3072 bits
- ▶ Diffie-Hellman (well-chosen $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$): e.g. 256 bits
- Code-based (McEliece, Binary Goppa codes)? e.g. 200 000 bytes

What should the secret/public key size be (in bits)?

 \Rightarrow Quite a complex matter! (Follow recommendations, e.g. from ANSSI!)

Objective: run a function 2^{128} times within 34 years ($\approx 2^{30}$ seconds), assuming:

- ▶ Hardware at 2⁵⁰ iterations/s (that's pretty good)
- Trivially parallelizable (that's not always the case in practice)
- ► 1000 W per device, no overhead e.g. for cooling (that's pretty good)
- \Rightarrow
 - $2^{128-50-30} \approx 2^{48}$ machines needed
 - $\blacktriangleright \approx 280\,000\,000$ GW 'round the clock
 - $\blacktriangleright~pprox$ 170 000 000 EPR nuclear power plants

(Of course, technology may improve, but there is quite a safe margin)