

# Cryptology complementary



## Finite fields — the practical side (1)

Pierre Karpman

`pierre.karpman@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr`

`https://www-ljk.imag.fr/membres/Pierre.Karpman/tea.html`

2018-03-15

## Bits as field elements

---

- ▶ Digital processing of information  $\leadsto$  dealing with bits
- ▶ Error-correcting codes, crypto  $\leadsto$  need analysis  $\leadsto$  maths
- ▶  $\Rightarrow$  bits (no structure)  $\mapsto$  field elements (math object)
  
- ▶ “Natural” match:  $\{0, 1\} \cong \mathbb{F}_2 \equiv \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \equiv$  “(natural) integers modulo 2”
- ▶  $\mathbb{F}_2$ : two elements (0, 1), two operations (+,  $\times$ )

## What's $\mathbb{F}_2$ like?

---

- ▶ Addition  $\equiv$  exclusive or (XOR ( $\oplus$ ))
- ▶ Multiplication  $\equiv$  logical and ( $\wedge$ )
- ▶  $\Rightarrow$  “Boolean” arithmetic
  
- ▶ Fact: any Boolean function  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  can be computed using only  $\oplus$  and  $\wedge$
- ▶ Fact 2: ditto,  $g : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$
- ▶ Fact 3: ditto, using NAND ( $\neg \circ \wedge$ )

## One bit is nice, but...

---

- ▶ We rather need bit strings  $\{0, 1\}^n$  than single bits
- ▶ Now two “natural” matches:
  - ▶  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  (vectors over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ )
    - ▶ Can add two vectors
    - ▶ Cannot multiply “internally” (but there’s a dot/scalar product)
  - ▶  $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$  (natural integers modulo  $2^n$ )
    - ▶ Can add, multiply
    - ▶ Not all elements are invertible (e.g. 2)  $\Rightarrow$  only a ring

## A third way

---

- ▶ Also possible:  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ : an *extension* field
  - ▶ Addition “like in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ”
  - ▶ Plus an internal multiplication
  - ▶ All elements (except zero) are invertible
- ▶ (Just in a moment)

# Why are these useful?

---

- ▶ Allows to perform operations on data
  - ▶ E.g. adding two messages together
- ▶ Vector spaces  $\Rightarrow$  linear algebra (matrices)
  - ▶ Powerful tools to solve “easy” problems
  - ▶ (Intuition: crypto shouldn't be linear)
- ▶ Fields  $\Rightarrow$  polynomials
  - ▶ With one or more variable
  - ▶  $\Rightarrow$  Can compute differentials
- ▶ Can mix  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$  to make things “hard”
  - ▶ Popular “ARX” strategy in symmetric cryptography (FEAL/MD5/SHA-1/Chacha/Speck/...)

## How to build finite fields? Easy case: prime fields

---

- ▶ Just take the integers and reduce modulo  $N$ 
  - ▶ Operations work “as usual”
  - ▶ Over a finite set
- ▶ Problem: have to ensure invertibility of all elements
  - ▶ Necessary condition  $N$  has to be prime
  - ▶ (Otherwise,  $N = pq \Rightarrow p \times q = 0 \pmod N \Rightarrow$  neither is invertible)
  - ▶ In fact also sufficient:  $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is a field for  $p$  prime

## Fields $\Rightarrow$ polynomials

---

- ▶ One can define the polynomials  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$  over a finite field
- ▶ One can divide polynomials (e.g.  $(X^2 + X)/(X + 1) = X$ )
- ▶  $\Rightarrow$  notion of remainder (e.g.  $(X^2 + X + 1)/(X + 1) = (X, 1)$ )
- ▶  $\Rightarrow$  can define multiplication in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$  modulo a polynomial  $Q$ 
  - ▶ If  $\deg(Q) = n$ , operands are restricted to a finite set of poly. of  $\deg < n$



# Finite fields with polynomials

---

- ▶  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]/Q$  is a finite set of polynomials
- ▶ With addition, multiplication working as usual (again)
- ▶ To make it a field: have to ensure invertibility of all elements
  - ▶ Necessary condition:  $Q$  is *irreducible*, i.e. has no non-constant factors ( $Q$  is “prime”)
  - ▶ In fact also sufficient:  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]/Q$  is a field for  $Q$  irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_p$
  - ▶ Claim: irreducible polynomials of all degrees exist over any given finite field

## Quick questions

---

- ▶ How many elements does have a field built as  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]/Q$ , when  $\deg(Q) = n$ ?
- ▶ Describe the cardinality of finite fields that you know how to build
- ▶ Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_p[X]/Q$ . what is the result of  $\alpha + \alpha + \dots + \alpha$  ( $p - 1$  additions)?

## Quick remarks

---

- ▶ Two finite fields of equal cardinality are *unique up to isomorphism*
- ▶ But different choices for  $Q$  may be possible  $\Rightarrow$  different *representations*
- ▶ One can build extension towers: extensions over fields that were already extension fields, iterating the same process as for a single extension

# How to implement finite field operations?

---

- ▶  $\mathbb{F}_p$ :
  - ▶ Addition: add modulo
  - ▶ Multiplication: multiply modulo
  - ▶ Inverse: use the extended Euclid algorithm or Little Fermat Theorem
- ▶  $\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ :
  - ▶ Addition: add modulo, coefficient-wise
  - ▶ Multiplication: multiply polynomials modulo (w.r.t. polynomial division)  $\Rightarrow$  Use LFSRs
  - ▶ Inverse: use the extended Euclid algorithm or Lagrange Theorem

## So what are LFSRs?

LFSR = *Linear Feedback Shift Register*

### LFSR (type 1)

An LFSR of length  $n$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  is a map

$$\mathcal{L} : [s_{n-1}, s_{n-2}, \dots, s_0] \mapsto [s_{n-2} - s_{n-1}r_{n-1}, s_{n-3} - s_{n-1}r_{n-2}, \dots, s_0 - s_{n-1}r_1, -s_{n-1}r_0]$$
 where the  $s_j, r_j \in \mathbb{K}$

### LFSR (type 2)

An LFSR of length  $n$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  is a map

$$\mathcal{L} : [s_{n-1}, s_{n-2}, \dots, s_0] \mapsto [s_{n-2}, s_{n-3}, \dots, s_0, s_{n-1}r_{n-1} + s_{n-2}r_{n-2} + \dots + s_0r_0]$$
 where the  $s_j, r_j \in \mathbb{K}$

Theorem: The two above definitions are “equivalent”

# Characterization

---

An LFSR is fully determined by:

- ▶ Its base field  $\mathbb{K}$
- ▶ Its state/register size  $n$
- ▶ Its feedback function  $(r_{n-1}, r_{n-2}, \dots, r_0)$

An LFSR may be used to generate an infinite sequence  $(U_m)$  (valued in  $\mathbb{K}$ ):

- 1 Choose an initial state  $S = [s_{n-1}, \dots, s_0]$
- 2  $U_0 = S[n-1] = s_{n-1}$
- 3  $U_1 = \mathcal{L}(S)[n-1]$
- 4  $U_2 = \mathcal{L}^2(S)[n-1]$ , etc.

## Some properties

---

- ▶ The sequence generated by an LFSR is periodic (Q: Why?)
- ▶ Some LFSRs map non-zero initial states to the zero one (Q: Give an example?)
- ▶ Some LFSRs generate a sequence of maximal period (Q: What is it?)
- ▶ It is very easy to recover the feedback function of an LFSR from (enough outputs of) its generated sequence (Q: How?)

# A simple case: binary LFSRs

---

We will in fact mostly care about:

- LFSRs of type 1
- Over  $\mathbb{F}_2$

$\mathcal{L}$  becomes:

- 1 Shift bits to the left
- 2 If the (previous) msb was 1
  - 1 Add (XOR) 1 to some state positions (given by the feedback function)



## Some formalism

---

The feedback function of an LFSR can be written as a polynomial:

- ▶  $(r_{n-1}, r_{n-2}, \dots, r_0) \equiv X^n + r_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + r_1X + r_0$
- ▶  $\mathcal{L}$  corresponds to the multiplication by  $X$  mod the feedback polynomial

Example:

- ▶ Take  $\mathcal{L}$  of length 4 over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  and feedback polynomial  $X^4 + X + 1$
- ▶  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L} : (s_3, s_2, s_1, s_0) \mapsto (s_2, s_1, s_0 \oplus s_3, s_3)$

## Back to multiplication: the $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ case

---

- ▶  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  is “a polynomial of  $\text{deg} < n$ ”
- ▶ So  $\alpha = \alpha_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_1X + \alpha_0$
- ▶ So we can multiply  $\alpha$  by  $X \Rightarrow \alpha_{n-1}X^n + \dots + \alpha_1X^2 + \alpha_0X$
- ▶ But this may be of  $\text{deg} = n$ , so not in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$
- ▶ So we reduce the result  
mod  $Q = q_nX^n + q_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + q_1X + q_0$ , the defining  
polynomial of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n} = \mathbb{F}_2[X]/Q$
- ▶ This can be implemented with an LFSR!

## Reduction illustrated: two cases

---

Case 1:  $\deg(\alpha X) < n$

- ▶ There's nothing to do

Case 2:  $\deg(\alpha X) = n$

- ▶ Then  $\deg(\alpha X - Q) < n$
- ▶ And  $\alpha X - Q$  is precisely the remainder of  $\alpha X \div Q$
- ▶ (Think how  $2N > a > N \pmod N = a - N$ )

# Summary

---

- ▶ An element of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n} = \mathbb{F}_2[X]/Q$  is a polynomial
- ▶ ...is a state of an LFSR with feedback polynomial  $Q$
- ▶ Multiplication by  $X$  is done mod  $Q$
- ▶ ...is the result of clocking the LFSR once
- ▶ Multiplication by  $X^2$  is done by clocking the LFSR twice, etc.
- ▶ Multiplication by  $\beta_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + \beta_1X + \beta_0$  is done “the obvious way”

## A note on representation

---

It is convenient to write  $\alpha = \alpha_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_1X + \alpha_0$  as the integer  $a = \alpha_{n-1}2^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_12 + \alpha_0$

- ▶ Example:  $X^4 + X^3 + X + 1$  " = "  $27 = 0x1B$

## Examples in $\mathbb{F}_{2^8} \equiv \mathbb{F}_2[X]/X^8 + X^4 + X^3 + X + 1$

---

Example 1:

- ▶  $\alpha = X^5 + X^3 + X$  (0x2A),  $\beta = X^2 + 1$  (0x05)
- ▶  $\alpha + \beta = X^5 + X^3 + X^2 + X + 1$  (0x2F)
- ▶  $\alpha\beta = X^2\alpha + \alpha = X^7 + X^5 + X^3$  (0xA8) +  $X^5 + X^3 + X = X^7 + X$  (0x82)

Example 2:

- ▶  $\alpha = X^5 + X^3 + X$ ,  $\gamma = X^4 + X$  (0x12)
- ▶  $\alpha\gamma = X^4\alpha + X\alpha$ 
  - ▶  $X^4\alpha = X(X(X^7 + X^5 + X^3))$
  - ▶  $X(X^7 + X^5 + X^3) = (X^8 + X^6 + X^4) + (X^8 + X^4 + X^3 + X + 1) = X^6 + X^3 + X + 1$
  - ▶  $X(X^6 + X^3 + X + 1) = X^7 + X^4 + X^2 + X$
- ▶  $= X^7 + X^4 + X^2 + X$  (0x96) +  $X^6 + X^4 + X^2$  (0x54) =  $X^7 + X^6 + X$  (0xC2)

# Exercise

---

- 1 Implement (in C) the multiplication by  $X$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^8} \equiv \mathbb{F}_2[X]/X^8 + X^4 + X^3 + X + 1$ , using a byte (type `uint8_t`) to represent field elements
- 2 Using the previous function, implement the multiplication of two arbitrary elements