GP-GPU
and
High Performances Computing

Cours 1
Introduction

What day are you born ? (keep the day in your head)

Add the digits

If number is greater than 10, keep the last digit

If number is less than 10, keep the digit

Say the number aloud

Find the smallest number in your row - in the room.

TOP 500

Rank	System	Cores	Rmax (PFlop/s)	Rpeak (PFlop/s)	Power (kW)
1	**Frontier** - HPE Cray EX235a, AMD Optimized 3rd Generation EPYC 64C 2GHz, AMD Instinct MI250X, Slingshot-11, HPE DOE/SC/Oak Ridge National Laboratory United States	8,699,904	1,206.00	1,714.81	22,786
2	**Aurora** - HPE Cray EX - Intel Exascale Compute Blade, Xeon CPU Max 9470 52C 2.4GHz, Intel Data Center GPU Max, Slingshot-11, Intel DOE/SC/Argonne National Laboratory United States	9,264,128	1,012.00	1,980.01	38,698
3	**Eagle** - Microsoft NDv5, Xeon Platinum 8480C 48C 2GHz, NVIDIA H100, NVIDIA Infiniband NDR, Microsoft Azure Microsoft Azure United States	2,073,600	561.20	846.84	
4	**Supercomputer Fugaku** - Supercomputer Fugaku, A64FX 48C 2.2GHz, Tofu interconnect D, Fujitsu RIKEN Center for Computational Science Japan	7,630,848	442.01	537.21	29,899
5	**LUMI** - HPE Cray EX235a, AMD Optimized 3rd Generation EPYC 64C 2GHz, AMD Instinct MI250X, Slingshot-11, HPE EuroHPC/CSC Finland	2,752,704	379.70	531.51	7,107

HPCG 500

Rank	TOP500 Rank	System	Cores	Rmax (PFlop/s)	HPCG (TFlop/s)
1	4	**Supercomputer Fugaku** - Supercomputer Fugaku, A64FX 48C 2.2GHz, Tofu interconnect D, Fujitsu RIKEN Center for Computational Science Japan	7,630,848	442.01	16004.50
2	1	**Frontier** - HPE Cray EX235a, AMD Optimized 3rd Generation EPYC 64C 2GHz, AMD Instinct MI250X, Slingshot-11, HPE DOE/SC/Oak Ridge National Laboratory United States	8,699,904	1,206.00	14054.00
3	2	**Aurora** - HPE Cray EX - Intel Exascale Compute Blade, Xeon CPU Max 9470 52C 2.4GHz, Intel Data Center GPU Max, Slingshot-11, Intel DOE/SC/Argonne National Laboratory United States	9,264,128	1,012.00	5612.60
4	5	**LUMI** - HPE Cray EX235a, AMD Optimized 3rd Generation EPYC 64C 2GHz, AMD Instinct MI250X, Slingshot-11, HPE EuroHPC/CSC Finland	2,752,704	379.70	4586.95
5	6	**Alps** - HPE Cray EX254n, NVIDIA Grace 72C 3.1GHz, NVIDIA GH200 Superchip, Slingshot-11, HPE Swiss National Supercomputing Centre (CSCS) Switzerland	1,305,600	270.00	3671.32

Green 500

System	Cores	Rmax (PFlop/s)	Rpeak (PFlop/s)	
Frontier - HPE Cray EX235a, AMD Optimized 3rd Generation EPYC 64C 2GHz, AMD Instinct MI250X, Slingshot-11, HPE DOE/SC/Oak Ridge National Laboratory United States	8,699,904	1,206.00	1,714.81	
Aurora - HPE Cray EX - Intel Exascale Compute Blade, Xeon CPU Max 9470 52C 2.4GHz, Intel Data Center GPU Max, Slingshot-11, Intel DOE/SC/Argonne National Laboratory United States	9,264,128	1,012.00	1,980.01	
Eagle - Microsoft NDv5, Xeon Platinum 8480C 48C 2GHz, NVIDIA H100, NVIDIA Infiniband NDR, Microsoft Azure Microsoft Azure United States	2,073,600	561.20	846.84	
Supercomputer Fugaku - Supercomputer Fugaku, A64FX 48C 2.2GHz, Tofu interconnect D, Fujitsu RIKEN Center for Computational Science Japan	7,630,848	442.01	537.21	
LUMI - HPE Cray EX235a, AMD Optimized 3rd Generation EPYC 64C 2GHz, AMD Instinct MI250X, Slingshot-11, HPE EuroHPC/CSC Finland	2,752,704	379.70	531.51	

General information

General course information

Contact - Christophe Picard

- christophe.picard@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr
- Offices :
  - 174 – IMAG Building – 1st floor
  - C102 - Ensimag Building - 1st floor
- Email headers: [CGPU]
- Course notes available on my website

Class organization

- Lectures and labs
- One written exam **and** a project

About the project

- ➔ It is **your** project. You **choose** the subject.
- ➔ It can be a group project (2 or 3).
- ➔ It should be related to your major (MMIS, MSIAM, MoSiG).
- ➔ You may reused project from other courses, but you should propose an improvement.
- ➔ It must involve some programming (Python, C, C++, Julia, Fortran).
- ➔ It must involve some design. You are **not allowed** to just use a library for parallelism.
- ➔ You must submit your proposal for project by **October 13th 2024.**

Grading the project

The grade will depend on :

- ➔ the quality of the code.
- ➔ the quality of the report.
- ➔ the design of the parallelism.
- ➔ the performances.
- ➔ the number of students in the project.
- ➔ the difficulty of the subject.

Introduction

What's a computer look like?

Turing machine



What's a computer look like?

A universal Turing Machine



What's a computer look like?

Von Neumann Architecture



Properties of “computers”

- Sequential processing
  - Control or logical flow
- Algorithm costs measured in this model
  - Big-O notation counts number of sequential steps/bits for storage
- This is the basis for the CS curriculum
  - And it’s just wrong
  - Computers are not sequential and performance is more nuanced than counting the number of steps
- We look at computers as parallel entities
  - Do many tasks concurrently
  - Tasks interfere with each other
  - More accurately reflects hardware and bottlenecks
- What about parallel computation models?
  - Exist but not useful, because reality collides with the abstraction

PRAM Model


Control Unit
P1
PM1
P2
PM2
P3
PM3
Pp
PMp
Memory Access Unit
(MAU)
M1
M2
M3
M4
M5
Mp-2
Mp-1
Mp
Global memory (Shared memory)

A64FX

CPU

4 NUMA nodes

12 compute cores each

Memory : 32 GB

FLOPS : 13.5 10^12



Apple M1

CPU

8 compute cores

GPU

Up to 128 execute unit

Up to 8 threads

FLOPS : 2.6 10^12



NVIDIA RTX

GPU

Up to 4,608 threads

Memory : 24Gb

FLOPS : 16.3 10^12



Serial computing should be re-invented

- ➔ Realities of computing
  - There are tons of wasted cycles
  - CPU utilization typically <10% (of useful work)
- ➔ Many other things limit performance
  - Pipeline stalls
  - Lock interference
  - Waiting for I/O and network
  - Data dependencies
- ➔ Writing serial programs is broken
  - Parallelism is everywhere
  - Must exploit it to realize time efficiency, power savings

About parallelism

- ➔ Why do **I want** to write parallel programs?
  - to solve problems faster (strong scaling)
  - to solve bigger problems (weak scaling and memory)

- ➔ Why **I do not want** to write parallel programs?
  - tools are more difficult to use: expect 10x programming effort
  - for many problem performance does not improve

This course will help to decide when to develop a parallel approach and how to write it.

Context

- ➔ Decrease time to solution.
- ➔ Solve larger problem.
- ➔ Combine resources of several processing units: gain access to more memory and more processing power.
- ➔ Harness the processing power of modern architectures.
- ➔ Use idle computer to perform embarrassing parallelism computation (SETI@home).
- ➔ Improve the precision of computations in a limited time (weather forecast).

How to achieve efficient parallelism?

- ➔ Processors: multicore, memory, network, accelerators, instructions.
- ➔ Compilers: dedicated library, automatic parallelism.
- ➔ Algorithms: tailored algorithms.
- ➔ Mathematics: adapted numerical methods, evolutionary methods.

Few words on hardware

A student HPC system



A “real” HPC system

What you will be working with!

- ➔ 33 RTX 6000 are available.
- ➔ Ressources are on virtual machine (up to 3 students on each card)
- ➔ Technical details :
  - ◆ CUDA Threads 4,608
  - ◆ NVIDIA Tensor threads 576
  - ◆ NVIDIA RT 72
  - ◆ GPU 24 GB GDDR6
  - ◆ Performances FP32 - 16,3 TFLOPS


PCI Express 3.0 Host Interface
GigaThread Engine
GPC
Raster Engine
TPC
SM
RT CORE
Memory Controller
L2 Cache
High-Speed Hub
NVLink – Two x8 Links

Conclusions

Every computer is a parallel computer

➔ Parallel computers need independent work to run their many cores (or other resources) efficiently

Themes of this class

➔ Identify available parallelism in application
➔ Design parallel approaches
➔ Understand parallel hardware and how to optimize parallel performance