# GP-GPU and High Performances Computing

Lecture 10 – Histogram

December 1, 2023

Organize storage of sparse matrices in order to

- ► minimize memory occupancy
- ► increase throughput
- ► limit data duplication
- ► limit tasks duplication

# Performances comparison









```
reduce(int *g_idata, int *g_odata) {
1
           extern shared int sdata[];
2
           // load shared mem
3
           unsigned int tid = threadIdx.x;
4
           unsigned int i = blockIdx.x * blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
5
           sdata[tid] = g idata[i];
6
           // do reduction in shared mem
7
           for (unsigned int s = 1; s < blockDim.x; s *= 2) {</pre>
8
             __syncthreads();
9
             int index = 2 * s * tid;
             if (index < blockDim.x) {</pre>
11
               sdata[tid] = sdata[tid] + sdata[tid + s];
12
             }
13
             // Thread 0 writes result for this block to global mem
14
             if (tid == 0) g odata[blockIdx.x] = sdata[0];
15
           }
16
         }
17
```

### Reduction $\beta$ : strided access

```
reduce(int *g_idata, int *g_odata) {
1
           extern shared int sdata[];
2
           // load shared mem
3
           unsigned int tid = threadIdx.x;
4
           unsigned int i = blockIdx.x * blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
5
           sdata[tid] = g idata[i];
6
           // do reduction in shared mem
\overline{7}
           for (int s = 1; s < blockDim.x; s *= 2) {</pre>
8
             __syncthreads();
9
             if (threadIdx.x \% (2 \ast s) == 0)
10
               sdata[threadID] += sdata[threadIdx.x + s];
11
12
13
           // Thread 0 writes result for this block to global mem
           if (tid == 0) g_odata[blockIdx.x] = sdata[0];
14
         }
15
```

Expected gain :  $\times 2.5$ 

```
reduce(int *g idata, int *g odata) {
1
           extern __shared__ int sdata[];
2
          // load shared mem
3
          unsigned int tid = threadIdx.x:
4
          unsigned int i = blockIdx.x*blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
5
           sdata[tid] = g idata[i];
6
           syncthreads();
7
          // do reduction in shared mem
8
          for (unsigned int s = blockDim.x/2; s > 0; s >>= 1) {
9
             if (tid < s) {
10
               sdata[tid] += sdata[tid + s];
11
12
            __syncthreads();
13
           }
14
          // write result for this block to global mem
15
           if (tid == 0) g odata[blockIdx.x] = sdata[0];
16
         }
17
```

Expected gain :  $\times 2$ .

### Reduction $\delta$ : add during load

```
reduce(int *g idata, int *g odata) {
1
           extern __shared__ int sdata[];
2
          // load shared mem
3
          unsigned int tid = threadIdx.x:
4
          unsigned int i = blockIdx.x*(blockDim.x*2) + threadIdx.x;
5
           sdata[tid] = g idata[i] + g idata[i+blockDim.x];
6
           syncthreads();
7
          // do reduction in shared mem
8
          for (unsigned int s = blockDim.x/2; s > 0; s >>= 1) {
9
             if (tid < s) {
10
               sdata[tid] += sdata[tid + s];
11
             ļ
12
            __syncthreads();
13
           }
14
          // write result for this block to global mem
15
           if (tid == 0) g odata[blockIdx.x] = sdata[0];
16
         }
17
```

Expected gain :  $\times 1.8$ 

```
reduce(int *g idata, int *g odata) {
1
           extern __shared__ int sdata[];
2
           // load shared mem
3
           unsigned int tid = threadIdx.x:
4
           unsigned int i = blockIdx.x*(blockDim.x*2) + threadIdx.x;
\mathbf{5}
           sdata[tid] = g_idata[i] + g_idata[i+blockDim.x];
6
           syncthreads();
7
           // do reduction in shared mem
8
           for (unsigned int s = blockDim.x/2; s > 32; s >>= 1) {
9
             if (tid < s) {
10
               sdata[tid] += sdata[tid + s]:
11
             }
12
             __syncthreads();
13
14
           if (tid < 32) warpReduce(sdata, tid);</pre>
15
           // write result for this block to global mem
16
           if (tid == 0) g odata[blockIdx.x] = sdata[0];
17
         }
18
```

Expected gain :  $\times 1.8$ 

7

```
__device__ void warpReduce(volatile int* sdata, int tid) {
1
          sdata[tid] += sdata[tid + 32];
^{2}
         sdata[tid] += sdata[tid + 16];
3
         sdata[tid] += sdata[tid + 8];
4
         sdata[tid] += sdata[tid + 4];
5
         sdata[tid] += sdata[tid + 2];
6
         sdata[tid] += sdata[tid + 1];
       }
8
```

```
Template <unsigned int blockSize>
1
       device void warpReduce(volatile int* sdata, int tid) {
2
         if (blockSize >= 64) sdata[tid] += sdata[tid + 32];
3
         if (blockSize >= 32) sdata[tid] += sdata[tid + 16];
4
         if (blockSize >= 16) sdata[tid] += sdata[tid + 8];
5
         if (blockSize >= 8) sdata[tid] += sdata[tid + 4];
6
         if (blockSize >= 4) sdata[tid] += sdata[tid + 2];
         if (blockSize >= 2) sdata[tid] += sdata[tid + 1];
8
        }
9
```

Expected gain : ×1.4

### Reduction $\zeta$ : unroll warp

```
reduce(int *g_idata, int *g odata) {
1
           extern shared int sdata[];
2
           // load shared mem
3
           unsigned int tid = threadIdx.x;
4
           unsigned int i = blockIdx.x*(blockDim.x*2) + threadIdx.x;
5
           unsigned int gridSize = blockSize*2*gridDim.x;
6
           sdata[tid] = 0;
\overline{7}
           while (i < n) {
8
             sdata[tid] += g idata[i] + g idata[i+blockSize];
9
             i += gridSize;
10
11
           syncthreads();
12
           // do reduction in shared mem
13
           for (unsigned int s = blockDim.x/2; s > 32; s >>= 1) {
14
             if (tid < s) {
15
               sdata[tid] += sdata[tid + s]:
16
             }
17
             __syncthreads();
18
           }
19
           if (tid < 32) warpReduce(sdata, tid);</pre>
20
           // write result for this block to global mem
21
           if (tid == 0) g odata[blockIdx.x] = sdata[0];
22
         }
23
```

Expected gain :  $\times 1.4$ 

# Histogram computation

The key techniques for compacting input data in parallel sparse methods for reduced consumption of memory bandwidth

- ▶ better utilization of on-chip memory
- ▶ fewer bytes transferred to on-chip memory
- ► retaining regularity

- ➤ A method for extracting notable features and patterns from large data sets
  - > Feature extraction for object recognition in images
  - > Fraud detection in credit card transactions
  - > Correlating heavenly object movements in astrophysics
- Basic histograms for each element in the data set, use the value to identify a "bin counter" to increment

### Example with text data

- > Define the bins as four-letter sections of the alphabet: a-d, e-h, i-l, n-p,
- For each character in an input string, increment the appropriate bin counter.
- ► In the phrase "Programming Massively Parallel Processors" the output histogram is:



- ► Partition the input into sections
  - > Have each thread to take a section of the input
  - ► Each thread iterates through its section.
  - ▶ For each letter, increment the appropriate bin counter





### Input Partitioning Affects Memory Access Efficiency

- > Sectioned partitioning results in poor memory access efficiency
  - > Adjacent threads do not access adjacent memory locations
  - Accesses are not coalesced
  - DRAM bandwidth is poorly utilized

- Change to interleaved partitioning
  - > All threads process a contiguous section of elements
  - > They all move to the next section and repeat
  - > The memory accesses are coalesced

### Interleaved memory accesses



A reduction point of view

# Mapping histogram count to reduce

	a-d	e-h	i-l	m-p	q-t	u-x	y-z
Р —	 0	0	0	1	0	0	0
R —	 0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	 0	0	0	1	0	0	0
G	 0	1	0	0	0	0	0
R —	 0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Α	 1	0	0	0	0	0	0
м —	 0	0	0	1	0	0	0
м —	 0	0	0	1	0	0	0
I —	 0	0	1	0	0	0	0
N	 0	0	0	1	0	0	0
G —	 0	1	0	0	0	0	0

## Reduction accross threads

		a-d	e-h	i-l	m-p	q-t	u-x	y-z
Р —		0	0	0	1	0	0	0
R —		0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0		0	0	0	1	0	0	0
G		0	1	0	0	0	0	0
R —		0	0	0	0	1	0	0
A —		1	0	0	0	0	0	0
м —		0	0	0	1	0	0	0
м —		0	0	0	1	0	0	0
I —		0	0	1	0	0	0	0
N —		0	0	0	1	0	0	0
G —		0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	ſ							
		1	2	1	5	2	0	0

Data races

### Interleaved memory accesses



For example, multiple bank tellers count the total amount of cash in the safe

- ▶ Each grab a pile and count
- ▶ Have a central display of the running total
- Whenever someone finishes counting a pile, read the current running total (read) and add the subtotal of the pile to the running total (modify-write)
- ► A bad outcome

Some of the piles were not accounted for in the final total

For example, multiple customer service agents serving waiting customers

- > The system maintains two numbers,
- ► the number to be given to the next incoming customer (I)
- $\blacktriangleright$  the number for the customer to be served next (S)
- The system gives each incoming customer a number (read I) and increments the number to be given to the next customer by 1 modify (write I)
- ► A central display shows the number for the customer to be served next
- When an agent becomes available, he/she calls the number (read S) and increments the display number by 1 (modify-write S)
- ► Bad outcomes

Multiple customers receive the same number, only one of them receives service

Multiple agents serve the same number

For example, multiple customers booking airline tickets in parallel Each

- > Brings up a flight seat map (read)
- Decides on a seat
- Updates the seat map and marks the selected seat as taken (modify-write)
- ► A bad outcome

Multiple passengers ended up booking the same seat

Thread 0	Thread 1
Old = Mem[x]	Old = Mem[x]
New = Old + 1	New = Old + 1
Mem[x] = New	Mem[x] = New

Old and New are per-thread register variables.

Question 1: If Mem[x] was initially 0, what would the value of Mem[x] be after threads 1 and 2 have completed?

Question 2: What does each thread get in their Old variable?

Unfortunately, the answers may vary according to the relative execution timing between the two threads, which is referred to as a data race

- ► Thread 1: Old = 0
- ► Thread 2 : Old = 1
- ► After the sequence : Mem[x] = 2

### Thread 1

1 2 3

4

5

6

Old = Mem[x] // 1 New = Old + 1 // 2 Mem[x] = New // 2

1		
2		
3		
4	Old = Mem[x] // 1	
5	New = Old + 1 // 2	
6	Mem[x] = New // 2	

- ► Thread 1: Old = 1
- ► Thread 2 : **Old** = 0
- ► After the sequence : Mem[x] = 2

### Thread 1

1

 $^{2}$ 

Old	=	Mem[>	<]	//	0
New	=	Old +	+ 1	. //	1 /

Mem[x] = New // 1

4

- ► Thread 1: **Old** = 0
- ► Thread 2 : **Old** = 0
- ► After the sequence : Mem[x] = 1

### Thread 1

4

- ► Thread 1: **Old** = 0
- ► Thread 2 : **Old** = 0
- ► After the sequence : Mem[x] = 1

### Thread 1

$$Mem[x] = New // 1$$

The goal of atomic operation is to ensure that Thread 0 Thread 1 Old = Mem[x] // 01 1 New = 0ld + 1 // 12 2 Mem[x] = New // 13 3 Old = Mem[x] // 14 4 New = Old + 1 / / 25 5Mem[x] = New // 26 6 or Thread 0 Thread 1 Old = Mem[x] // 01 1 New = Old + 1 / / 1 $^{2}$ 2  $_3 \qquad Mem[x] = New // 1$ 3 Old = Mem[x] // 14 4 New = Old + 1 / / 25 5 Mem[x] = New // 26 6

# Atomic operation

# Mem[x] = 0 Thread 0 Old = Mem[x] // 0 New = Old + 1 // 1 Mem[x] = New // 1

Thread 1

- Old = Mem[x] // 1
- New = Old + 1 // 1 Mem[x] = New // 1

- ► Both threads receive 0 in Old
- > Mem[x] becomes 1

- A read-modify-write operation performed by a single hardware instruction on a memory location address
  - ➤ Read the old value, calculate a new value, and write the new value to the location
- ➤ The hardware ensures that no other threads can perform another read-modify-write operation on the same location until the current atomic operation is complete
  - Any other threads that attempt to perform an atomic operation on the same location will typically be held in a queue
  - > All threads perform their atomic operations serially on the same location

- Performed by calling functions that are translated into single instructions: add, sub, inc, dec, min, max, exch (exchange), CAS (compare and swap)
- ► Atomic Add

### int atomicAdd(int\* address, int val);

 reads the 32-bit word old from the location pointed to by address in global or shared memory, computes (old + val), and stores the result back to memory at the same address. The function returns old.

- > Unsigned 32-bit integer atomic add unsigned int atomicAdd(unsigned int\* address, unsigned Unsigned 64-bit integer atomic add
- Unsigned 64-bit integer atomic add unsigned long long int atomicAdd(unsigned long long i Single-precision floating-point atomic add (capability > 2.0)

float atomicAdd(float\* address, float val);

- > The kernel receives a pointer to the input buffer of byte values
- > Each thread process the input in a strided pattern

```
global void histo kernel(unsigned char *buffer, long size,
1
                                  unsigned int *histo)
2
3
      int i = threadIdx.x + blockIdx.x * blockDim.x:
4
      // stride is total number of threads
5
      int stride = blockDim.x * gridDim.x;
6
      // All threads handle blockDim.x * gridDim.x
 7
      // consecutive elements
8
      while (i < size) {</pre>
9
        int alphabet position = buffer[i] A "a";
10
        if (alphabet position >= 0 && alpha position < 26)
           atomicAdd(&(histo[alphabet position/4]), 1);
12
         i += stride;
13
14
15
```

Performances

- An atomic operation on a DRAM location starts with a read, which has a latency of a few hundred cycles
- The atomic operation ends with a write to the same location, with a latency of a few hundred cycles
- > During this whole time, no one else can access the location

Each Read-Modify-Write has two full memory access delays

All atomic operations on the same variable (DRAM location) are serialized.

- Throughput of atomic operations on the same DRAM location is the rate at which the application can execute an atomic operation.
- The rate for atomic operation on a particular location is limited by the total latency of the read-modify-write sequence, typically more than 1000 cycles for global memory (DRAM) locations.
- This means that if many threads attempt to do atomic operation on the same location (contention), the memory throughput is reduced to < 1/1000 of the peak bandwidth of one memory channel!

- 1. Some customers realize that they missed an item after they started to check out
- They run to the isle and get the item while the line waits: The rate of checkout is drastically reduced due to the long latency of running to the isle and back.
- Imagine a store where every customer starts the check out before they even fetch any of the items: The rate of the checkout will be 1 / (entire shopping time of each customer)

Atomic operations on Shared Memory

- ► Very short latency
- ▶ Private to each thread block
- ► Need algorithm work by programmers

Avoid atomic operations as much as possible.

Privatization

- ► Cost
  - > Overhead for creating and initializing private copies
  - > Overhead for accumulating the contents of private copies into the final copy
- ► Benefit
  - Much less contention and serialization in accessing both the private copies and the final copy
  - > The overall performance can often be improved more than 10

- ► Each subset of threads are in the same block
- ▶ Much higher throughput than DRAM (100x) or L2 (10x) atomics
- Less contention only threads in the same block can access a shared memory variable
- > This is a very important use case for shared memory!

### Kernel with privatization

```
__global__ void histo_kernel(unsigned char *buffer, long size,
1
             unsigned int *histo)
2
    ł
3
      /// Create private copies of the histo[] array for each thread block
4
      __shared__ unsigned int histo_private[7];
5
      // Initialize the bin counters in the private copies of histo[]
6
      if (threadIdx.x < 7)
7
        histo private[threadidx.x] = 0;
8
      syncthreads();
9
10
      /// Build Private Histogram
11
      int i = threadIdx.x + blockIdx.x * blockDim.x;
12
      // stride is total number of threads
13
      int stride = blockDim.x * gridDim.x;
14
      while (i < size) {</pre>
15
        atomicAdd( &(private histo[buffer[i]/4), 1);
16
        i += stride;
17
       }
18
      /// Build Final Histogram
19
      // wait for all other threads in the block to finish
20
      __syncthreads();
21
      if (threadIdx.x < 7) {
22
        atomicAdd(&(histo[threadIdx.x]), private_histo[threadIdx.x] );
23
      }
24
25
```

- Privatization is a powerful and frequently used technique for parallelizing applications
- > The operation needs to be associative and commutative
- ► Histogram add operation is associative and commutative
- ► No privatization if the operation does not fit the requirement
- ▶ The private histogram size needs to be small
- ► Fits into shared memory
- If the histogram is too large to privatize: partially privatize an output histogram and use range testing to go to either global memory or shared memory.

# Conclusion

- ► Themes of this class
  - ► Memory access
  - ► Race condition
  - ► Atomic operation
  - ► Use private memory