

Factoring bivariate lacunary polynomials without heights

Bruno Grenet

ÉNS Lyon & U. Rennes 1

Joint work with

Arkadev Chattophyay

TIFR, Mumbai

Pascal Koiran

ÉNS Lyon

Natacha Portier

ÉNS Lyon

Yann Strozecki

U. Versailles

Séminaire de théorie des nombres du LMNO — Caen, le 1^{er} février 2013

Representation of Univariate Polynomials

$$P(X) = X^{10} - 4X^8 + 8X^7 + 5X^3 + 1$$

Representations

- ▶ Dense:

$$[1, 0, -4, 8, 0, 0, 0, 5, 0, 0, 1]$$

- ▶ Sparse:

$$\{(10 : 1), (8 : -4), (7 : 8), (3 : 5), (0 : 1)\}$$

Representation of Multivariate Polynomials

$$P(X, Y, Z) = X^2 Y^3 Z^5 - 4 X^3 Y^3 Z^2 + 8 X^5 Z^2 + 5 XYZ + 1$$

Representations

- ▶ Dense:

$$[1, \dots, -4, \dots, 8, \dots, 5, \dots, 1]$$

- ▶ Lacunary (supersparse):

$$\left\{ (2, 3, 5 : 1), (3, 3, 2 : -4), (5, 0, 2 : 8), (1, 1, 1 : 5), (0 : 1) \right\}$$

Size of the lacunary representation

Definition

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X_1^{\alpha_{1j}} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_{nj}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{size}(P) \simeq \sum_{j=1}^k \text{size}(a_j) + \log(\alpha_{1j}) + \cdots + \log(\alpha_{nj})$$

Factorization of polynomials

Factorization of a polynomial P

Find F_1, \dots, F_t , irreducible, s.t. $P = F_1 \times \dots \times F_t$

Factorization of polynomials

Factorization of a polynomial P

Find F_1, \dots, F_t , irreducible, s.t. $P = F_1 \times \dots \times F_t$

- ▶ $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$: randomized polynomial time [Berlekamp'67]

Factorization of polynomials

Factorization of a polynomial P

Find F_1, \dots, F_t , irreducible, s.t. $P = F_1 \times \dots \times F_t$

- ▶ $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$: randomized polynomial time [Berlekamp'67]
 $\rightsquigarrow \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]$

Factorization of polynomials

Factorization of a polynomial P

Find F_1, \dots, F_t , irreducible, s.t. $P = F_1 \times \dots \times F_t$

- ▶ $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$: randomized polynomial time [Berlekamp'67]
 $\rightsquigarrow \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]$
- ▶ $\mathbb{Z}[X]$: deterministic polynomial time [Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovász'82]

Factorization of polynomials

Factorization of a polynomial P

Find F_1, \dots, F_t , irreducible, s.t. $P = F_1 \times \dots \times F_t$

- ▶ $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$: randomized polynomial time [Berlekamp'67]
 $\rightsquigarrow \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]$
- ▶ $\mathbb{Z}[X]$: deterministic polynomial time [Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovász'82]
 $\rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)[X]$ [A. Lenstra'83, Landau'83]

Factorization of polynomials

Factorization of a polynomial P

Find F_1, \dots, F_t , irreducible, s.t. $P = F_1 \times \dots \times F_t$

- ▶ $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$: randomized polynomial time [Berlekamp'67]
 $\rightsquigarrow \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]$
- ▶ $\mathbb{Z}[X]$: deterministic polynomial time [Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovász'82]
 $\rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)[X]$ [A. Lenstra'83, Landau'83]
 $\rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ [Kaltofen'85, A. Lenstra'87]

Factorization of polynomials

Factorization of a polynomial P

Find F_1, \dots, F_t , irreducible, s.t. $P = F_1 \times \dots \times F_t$

- ▶ $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$: randomized polynomial time [Berlekamp'67]
 - ↪ $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]$
- ▶ $\mathbb{Z}[X]$: deterministic polynomial time [Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovász'82]
 - ↪ $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)[X]$ [A. Lenstra'83, Landau'83]
 - ↪ $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ [Kaltofen'85, A. Lenstra'87]

Example

$$X^p - 1 = (X - 1)(1 + X + \dots + X^{p-1})$$

Factorization of polynomials

Factorization of a polynomial P

Find F_1, \dots, F_t , irreducible, s.t. $P = F_1 \times \dots \times F_t$

- ▶ $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$: randomized polynomial time [Berlekamp'67]
 - ↪ $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]$
- ▶ $\mathbb{Z}[X]$: deterministic polynomial time [Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovász'82]
 - ↪ $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)[X]$ [A. Lenstra'83, Landau'83]
 - ↪ $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ [Kaltofen'85, A. Lenstra'87]

Example

$$X^p - 1 = (X - 1)(1 + X + \dots + X^{p-1})$$

⇒ restriction to finding **some** factors

Factorization of sparse univariate polynomials

$$P(X) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} \quad \text{size}(P) \simeq \sum_{j=1}^k \text{size}(a_j) + \log(\alpha_j)$$

Factorization of sparse univariate polynomials

$$P(X) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} \quad \text{size}(P) \simeq \sum_{j=1}^k \text{size}(a_j) + \log(\alpha_j)$$

Theorem (Cucker-Koiran-Smale'98)

Polynomial-time algorithm to find **integer roots** if $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Factorization of sparse univariate polynomials

$$P(X) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} \quad \text{size}(P) \simeq \sum_{j=1}^k \text{size}(a_j) + \log(\alpha_j)$$

Theorem (Cucker-Koiran-Smale'98)

Polynomial-time algorithm to find **integer roots** if $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem (H. Lenstra'99)

Polynomial-time algorithm to find **factors of degree $\leq d$** if $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

Factorization of lacunary polynomials

Theorem (Kaltofen-Koiran'05)

Polynomial-time algorithm to find **linear factors** of **bivariate** lacunary polynomials over \mathbb{Q} .

Factorization of lacunary polynomials

Theorem (Kaltofen-Koiran'05)

Polynomial-time algorithm to find **linear factors** of **bivariate** lacunary polynomials over \mathbb{Q} .

Theorem (Kaltofen-Koiran'06)

Polynomial-time algorithm to find **low-degree factors** of **multi-variate** lacunary polynomials over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

Factorization of lacunary polynomials

Theorem (Kaltofen-Koiran'05)

Polynomial-time algorithm to find **linear factors** of **bivariate** lacunary polynomials over \mathbb{Q} .

Theorem (Kaltofen-Koiran'06)

Polynomial-time algorithm to find **low-degree factors** of **multi-variate** lacunary polynomials over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

Theorem (Avendaño-Krick-Sombra'07)

Polynomial-time algorithm to find **low-degree factors** of **bivariate** lacunary polynomials over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

Common ideas

Gap Theorem

$$P = \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}}_{P_0} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=\ell+1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}}_{P_1}$$

with $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k$.

Common ideas

Gap Theorem

$$P = \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}}_{P_0} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=\ell+1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}}_{P_1}$$

with $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k$. Suppose that

$$\alpha_{\ell+1} - \alpha_{\ell} > \text{gap}(P)$$

Common ideas

Gap Theorem

$$P = \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}}_{P_0} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=\ell+1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}}_{P_1}$$

with $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k$. Suppose that

$$\alpha_{\ell+1} - \alpha_{\ell} > \text{gap}(P),$$

then F divides P iff F divides both P_0 and P_1 .

Common ideas

Gap Theorem

$$P = \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}}_{P_0} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=\ell+1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}}_{P_1}$$

with $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k$. Suppose that

$$\alpha_{\ell+1} - \alpha_{\ell} > \text{gap}(P),$$

then F divides P iff F divides both P_0 and P_1 .

$\text{gap}(P)$: function of the **algebraic height** of P .

Common algorithmic idea

- ▶ Recursively apply the Gap Theorem:

$$P = X^{\alpha_1} P_1 + \cdots + X^{\alpha_t} P_s \text{ with } \deg(P_t) \leq \text{gap}(P)$$

Common algorithmic idea

- ▶ Recursively apply the Gap Theorem:

$$P = X^{\alpha_1} P_1 + \cdots + X^{\alpha_t} P_s \text{ with } \deg(P_t) \leq \text{gap}(P)$$

- ▶ Factor out P_1, \dots, P_s using a dense factorization algorithm

Common algorithmic idea

- ▶ Recursively apply the Gap Theorem:

$$P = X^{\alpha_1} P_1 + \cdots + X^{\alpha_t} P_s \text{ with } \deg(P_t) \leq \text{gap}(P)$$

- ▶ Factor out P_1, \dots, P_s using a dense factorization algorithm
- ▶ Refinements:

Common algorithmic idea

- ▶ Recursively apply the Gap Theorem:

$$P = X^{\alpha_1} P_1 + \dots + X^{\alpha_t} P_s \text{ with } \deg(P_t) \leq \text{gap}(P)$$

- ▶ Factor out P_1, \dots, P_s using a dense factorization algorithm
- ▶ Refinements:
 - Factor out $\gcd(P_1, \dots, P_s)$

Common algorithmic idea

- ▶ Recursively apply the Gap Theorem:

$$P = X^{\alpha_1} P_1 + \dots + X^{\alpha_t} P_s \text{ with } \deg(P_t) \leq \text{gap}(P)$$

- ▶ Factor out P_1, \dots, P_s using a dense factorization algorithm
- ▶ Refinements:
 - Factor out $\gcd(P_1, \dots, P_s)$
 - Factor out only P_1 & check which factors divide the other P_t 's

Common algorithmic idea

- ▶ Recursively apply the Gap Theorem:

$$P = X^{\alpha_1} P_1 + \dots + X^{\alpha_t} P_s \text{ with } \deg(P_t) \leq \text{gap}(P)$$

- ▶ Factor out P_1, \dots, P_s using a dense factorization algorithm
- ▶ Refinements:
 - Factor out $\gcd(P_1, \dots, P_s)$
 - Factor out only P_1 & check which factors divide the other P_t 's
 - ...

Results

Theorem

Polynomial time algorithm to find **multilinear** factors of **bivariate** lacunary polynomials over algebraic number fields.

Results

Theorem

Polynomial time algorithm to find **multilinear** factors of **bivariate** lacunary polynomials over algebraic number fields.

- ▶ Linear factors of bivariate lacunary polynomials
[Kaltofen-Koiran'05, Avendaño-Krick-Sombra'07]

Results

Theorem

Polynomial time algorithm to find **multilinear** factors of **bivariate** lacunary polynomials over algebraic number fields.

- ▶ Linear factors of bivariate lacunary polynomials
[Kaltofen-Koiran'05, Avendaño-Krick-Sombra'07]
- ▶ $\text{gap}(P)$ **independent of the height**

Results

Theorem

Polynomial time algorithm to find **multilinear** factors of **bivariate** lacunary polynomials over algebraic number fields.

- ▶ Linear factors of bivariate lacunary polynomials
[Kaltofen-Koiran'05, Avendaño-Krick-Sombra'07]
- ▶ $\text{gap}(P)$ **independent of the height**
 - ↔ More elementary algorithms

Results

Theorem

Polynomial time algorithm to find **multilinear** factors of **bivariate** lacunary polynomials over algebraic number fields.

- ▶ Linear factors of bivariate lacunary polynomials
[Kaltofen-Koiran'05, Avendaño-Krick-Sombra'07]
- ▶ $\text{gap}(P)$ **independent of the height**
 - ↪ More elementary algorithms
 - ↪ Gap Theorem valid over **any field of characteristic 0**

Results

Theorem

Polynomial time algorithm to find **multilinear** factors of **bivariate** lacunary polynomials over algebraic number fields.

- ▶ Linear factors of bivariate lacunary polynomials
[Kaltofen-Koiran'05, Avendaño-Krick-Sombra'07]
- ▶ $\text{gap}(P)$ **independent of the height**
 - ↪ More elementary algorithms
 - ↪ Gap Theorem valid over **any field of characteristic 0**
- ▶ Extension to **multilinear** factors

Results

Theorem

Polynomial time algorithm to find **multilinear** factors of **bivariate** lacunary polynomials over algebraic number fields.

- ▶ Linear factors of bivariate lacunary polynomials
[Kaltofen-Koiran'05, Avendaño-Krick-Sombra'07]
- ▶ $\text{gap}(P)$ **independent of the height**
 - ↪ More elementary algorithms
 - ↪ Gap Theorem valid over **any field of characteristic 0**
- ▶ Extension to **multilinear** factors
- ▶ Results in **positive characteristics**

Linear factors of bivariate polynomials

$$P(X, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$$

Linear factors of bivariate polynomials

$$P(X, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$$

Observation

$(Y - uX - v)$ divides $P(X, Y) \iff P(X, uX + v) \equiv 0$

Linear factors of bivariate polynomials

$$P(X, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$$

Observation

$(Y - uX - v)$ divides $P(X, Y) \iff P(X, uX + v) \equiv 0$

- ▶ Study of polynomials of the form $\sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j}$

Linear factors of bivariate polynomials

$$P(X, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$$

Observation

$(Y - uX - v)$ divides $P(X, Y) \iff P(X, uX + v) \equiv 0$

- ▶ Study of polynomials of the form $\sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j}$
- ▶ \mathbb{K} : any field of characteristic 0

Bound on the valuation

Bound on the valuation

Definition

$\text{val}(P) =$ degree of the **lowest degree monomial** of $P \in \mathbb{K}[X]$

Bound on the valuation

Definition

$\text{val}(P) =$ degree of the **lowest degree monomial** of $P \in \mathbb{K}[X]$

Theorem

Let $P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \neq 0$, with $uv \neq 0$ and $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k$.

Bound on the valuation

Definition

$\text{val}(P) =$ degree of the **lowest degree monomial** of $P \in \mathbb{K}[X]$

Theorem

Let $P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \neq 0$, with $uv \neq 0$ and $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k$.

Then

$$\text{val}(P) \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left(\alpha_j + \binom{k+1-j}{2} \right)$$

Bound on the valuation

Definition

$\text{val}(P) =$ degree of the **lowest degree monomial** of $P \in \mathbb{K}[X]$

Theorem

Let $P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \neq 0$, with $uv \neq 0$ and $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k$.

Then

$$\text{val}(P) \leq \alpha_1 + \binom{k}{2}$$

- ▶ $X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j}$ linearly independent

Bound on the valuation

Definition

$\text{val}(P)$ = degree of the **lowest degree monomial** of $P \in \mathbb{K}[X]$

Theorem

Let $P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \neq 0$, with $uv \neq 0$ and $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k$.

Then

$$\text{val}(P) \leq \alpha_1 + \binom{k}{2}$$

- ▶ $X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j}$ linearly independent
- ▶ Hajós' Lemma: if $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_k$, $\text{val}(P) \leq \alpha_1 + (k - 1)$

Gap Theorem

Theorem

Let

$$P = \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j}}_{P_0} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=\ell+1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j}}_{P_1}$$

with $uv \neq 0$, $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k$. If

$$\alpha_{\ell+1} > \max_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \left(\alpha_j + \binom{\ell + 1 - j}{2} \right),$$

then $P \equiv 0$ iff both $P_0 \equiv 0$ and $P_1 \equiv 0$.

Gap Theorem

Theorem

Let

$$P = \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j}}_{P_0} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=\ell+1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j}}_{P_1}$$

with $uv \neq 0$, $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k$. If ℓ is the smallest index s.t.

$$\alpha_{\ell+1} > \alpha_1 + \binom{\ell}{2},$$

then $P \equiv 0$ iff both $P_0 \equiv 0$ and $P_1 \equiv 0$.

The Wronskian

Definition

Let $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{K}[X]$. Then

$$\text{wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k) = \det \begin{bmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & \dots & f_k \\ f_1' & f_2' & \dots & f_k' \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ f_1^{(k-1)} & f_2^{(k-1)} & \dots & f_k^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The Wronskian

Definition

Let $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{K}[X]$. Then

$$\text{wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k) = \det \begin{bmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & \dots & f_k \\ f_1' & f_2' & \dots & f_k' \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ f_1^{(k-1)} & f_2^{(k-1)} & \dots & f_k^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proposition (Bôcher, 1900)

$\text{wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k) \neq 0 \iff$ the f_j 's are linearly independent.

Wronskian & valuation

Lemma

$$\text{val}(\text{wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k)) \geq \sum_{j=1}^k \text{val}(f_j) - \binom{k}{2}$$

Wronskian & valuation

Lemma

$$\text{val}(\text{wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k)) \geq \sum_{j=1}^k \text{val}(f_j) - \binom{k}{2}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{r}
 0 \\
 -1 \\
 \vdots \\
 -(k-1)
 \end{array}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 \text{val}(f_1) & \text{val}(f_2) & \dots & \text{val}(f_k) \\
 f_1 & f_2 & \dots & f_k \\
 f_1' & f_2' & \dots & f_k' \\
 \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 f_1^{(k-1)} & f_2^{(k-1)} & \dots & f_k^{(k-1)}
 \end{bmatrix}$$

Upper bound for the valuation

Lemma

Let $f_j = X^{\alpha_j}(uX + v)^{\beta_j}$, $uv \neq 0$, linearly independent, and s.t. $\alpha_j, \beta_j \geq k - 1$. Then

$$\text{val}(\text{wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k)) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j.$$

Upper bound for the valuation

Lemma

Let $f_j = X^{\alpha_j}(uX + v)^{\beta_j}$, $uv \neq 0$, linearly independent, and s.t. $\alpha_j, \beta_j \geq k - 1$. Then

$$\text{val}(\text{wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k)) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j.$$

Proof idea. Write

$$\text{wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k) = X^{\sum_j \alpha_j - \binom{k}{2}} (uX + v)^{\sum_j \beta_j - \binom{k}{2}} \times \det(M)$$

with $\deg(M_{ij}) \leq i$.

Upper bound for the valuation

Lemma

Let $f_j = X^{\alpha_j}(uX + v)^{\beta_j}$, $uv \neq 0$, linearly independent, and s.t. $\alpha_j, \beta_j \geq k - 1$. Then

$$\text{val}(\text{wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k)) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j.$$

Proof idea. Write

$$\text{wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k) = X^{\sum_j \alpha_j - \binom{k}{2}} (uX + v)^{\sum_j \beta_j - \binom{k}{2}} \times \det(M)$$

with $\deg(M_{ij}) \leq i$. Use $\text{val}(\det M) \leq \deg(\det M) \leq \binom{k}{2}$.

Proof of the Theorem

Theorem

Let $P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \neq 0$, with $uv \neq 0$ and $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k$.

Then

$$\text{val}(P) \leq \alpha_1 + \binom{k}{2}.$$

Proof of the Theorem

Theorem

Let $P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \neq 0$, with $uv \neq 0$ and $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k$.

Then

$$\text{val}(P) \leq \alpha_1 + \binom{k}{2}.$$

Proof. $\text{wr}(P, f_2, \dots, f_k) = a_1 \text{wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$

Proof of the Theorem

Theorem

Let $P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \neq 0$, with $uv \neq 0$ and $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k$.

Then

$$\text{val}(P) \leq \alpha_1 + \binom{k}{2}.$$

Proof. $\text{wr}(P, f_2, \dots, f_k) = a_1 \text{wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \geq \text{val}(\text{wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k)) \geq \text{val}(P) + \sum_{j=2}^k \alpha_j - \binom{k}{2}$$

Proof of the Theorem

Theorem

Let $P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \neq 0$, with $uv \neq 0$ and $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k$.

Then

$$\text{val}(P) \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left(\alpha_j + \binom{k+1-j}{2} \right).$$

Proof. $\text{wr}(P, f_2, \dots, f_k) = a_1 \text{wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \geq \text{val}(\text{wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k)) \geq \text{val}(P) + \sum_{j=2}^k \alpha_j - \binom{k}{2}$$

How far from optimality?

► Hajós' Lemma: $\text{val} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^\alpha (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \right) \leq \alpha + (k - 1)$

How far from optimality?

- ▶ Hajós' Lemma: $\text{val} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^\alpha (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \right) \leq \alpha + (k - 1)$
- ▶ Our result: $\text{val} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \right) \leq \alpha_1 + \binom{k}{2}$

How far from optimality?

- ▶ Hajós' Lemma: $\text{val} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^\alpha (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \right) \leq \alpha + (k - 1)$
- ▶ Our result: $\text{val} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \right) \leq \alpha_1 + \binom{k}{2}$
- ▶ Lemmas: bounds attained, but not simultaneously \rightsquigarrow trade-off?

How far from optimality?

- ▶ Hajós' Lemma: $\text{val} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^\alpha (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \right) \leq \alpha + (k - 1)$
- ▶ Our result: $\text{val} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \right) \leq \alpha_1 + \binom{k}{2}$
- ▶ Lemmas: bounds attained, but not simultaneously \rightsquigarrow trade-off?
- ▶ Lower bound:

$$X^{2k-3} = (1+X)^{2k+3} - 1 - \sum_{j=3}^k \frac{2k-3}{2j-5} \binom{k+j-5}{2j-6} X^{2j-5} (1+X)^{k-1-j}$$

A generalization

Theorem

Let $(\alpha_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{k \times m}$ and

$$P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \prod_{i=1}^m f_i^{\alpha_{ij}},$$

where $f_i \in \mathbb{K}[X]$, $\deg(f_i) = d_i$ and $\text{val}(f_i) = \mu_i$.

A generalization

Theorem

Let $(\alpha_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{k \times m}$ and

$$P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \prod_{i=1}^m f_i^{\alpha_{ij}},$$

where $f_i \in \mathbb{K}[X]$, $\deg(f_i) = d_i$ and $\text{val}(f_i) = \mu_i$. Then

$$\text{val}(P) \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\mu_i \alpha_{ij} + (d_i - \mu_i) \binom{k+1-j}{2} \right).$$

A generalization

Theorem

Let $(\alpha_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m}$ and

$$P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \prod_{i=1}^m f_i^{\alpha_{ij}},$$

where $f_i \in \mathbb{K}[X]$, $\deg(f_i) = d_i$ and $\text{val}(f_i) = \mu_i$. Then

$$\text{val}(P) \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\mu_i \alpha_{ij} + (d_i - \mu_i) \binom{k+1-j}{2} \right).$$

Algorithms

Algorithms

1. Polynomial Identity Testing
2. Finding (multi)linear factors

Algorithms

1. Polynomial Identity Testing
2. Finding (multi)linear factors

Definition

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}[\xi]/\langle\varphi\rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{Z}[\xi] \text{ irreducible of degree } \delta$$

Algorithms

1. Polynomial Identity Testing
2. Finding (multi)linear factors

Definition

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}[\xi]/\langle\varphi\rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{Z}[\xi] \text{ irreducible of degree } \delta$$

- ▶ $x \in \mathbb{K}$ represented as $(\frac{n_0}{d_0}, \dots, \frac{n_{\delta-1}}{d_{\delta-1}})$
- ▶ $\text{size}(x) \simeq \log(n_0 d_0) + \dots + \log(n_{\delta-1} d_{\delta-1})$

Algorithms

1. Polynomial Identity Testing
2. Finding (multi)linear factors

Definition

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}[\xi]/\langle\varphi\rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{Z}[\xi] \text{ irreducible of degree } \delta$$

- ▶ $x \in \mathbb{K}$ represented as $(\frac{n_0}{d_0}, \dots, \frac{n_{\delta-1}}{d_{\delta-1}})$
 - ▶ $\text{size}(x) \simeq \log(n_0 d_0) + \dots + \log(n_{\delta-1} d_{\delta-1})$
- ▶ \mathbb{K} is part of the input, given by φ in dense representation

Algorithms

1. Polynomial Identity Testing
2. Finding (multi)linear factors

Definition

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}[\xi]/\langle\varphi\rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{Z}[\xi] \text{ irreducible of degree } \delta$$

- ▶ $x \in \mathbb{K}$ represented as $(\frac{n_0}{d_0}, \dots, \frac{n_{\delta-1}}{d_{\delta-1}})$
 - ▶ $\text{size}(x) \simeq \log(n_0 d_0) + \dots + \log(n_{\delta-1} d_{\delta-1})$
-
- ▶ \mathbb{K} is part of the input, given by φ in dense representation
 - ▶ **N.B.:** Algorithms are from [Kaltofen-Koiran'05]

Polynomial Identity Testing

Theorem

There exists a deterministic polynomial-time algorithm to test if

$$P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \text{ vanishes.}$$

Polynomial Identity Testing

Theorem

There exists a deterministic polynomial-time algorithm to test if

$$P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \text{ vanishes.}$$

Proof.

- ▶ If $u = 0$: test $\sum_j a_j v^{\beta_j} \stackrel{?}{=} 0$ [Lenstra'99]

Polynomial Identity Testing

Theorem

There exists a deterministic polynomial-time algorithm to test if

$$P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \text{ vanishes.}$$

Proof.

- ▶ If $u = 0$: test $\sum_j a_j v^{\beta_j} \stackrel{?}{=} 0$ [Lenstra'99]
- ▶ If $v = 0$: similar [Lenstra'99]

Polynomial Identity Testing

Theorem

There exists a deterministic polynomial-time algorithm to test if

$$P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \text{ vanishes.}$$

Proof.

- ▶ If $u = 0$: test $\sum_j a_j v^{\beta_j} \stackrel{?}{=} 0$ [Lenstra'99]
- ▶ If $v = 0$: similar [Lenstra'99]
- ▶ If $u, v \neq 0$: $P = P_1 + \dots + P_s$ s.t.

$$P = 0 \iff P_1 = \dots = P_s = 0$$

where $P_t = \sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j}$ with $\alpha_{\max} \leq \alpha_{\min} + \binom{k}{2}$

Polynomial Identity Testing (2)

$$Q(X) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j}, \text{ with } \alpha_k \leq \alpha_1 + \binom{k}{2}$$

Polynomial Identity Testing (2)

$$Q(X) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j}, \text{ with } \alpha_k \leq \binom{k}{2}$$

Polynomial Identity Testing (2)

$$Q(X) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j}, \text{ with } \alpha_k \leq \binom{k}{2}$$

Let $Y = uX + v$. Then

$$Q(Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j u^{-\alpha_j} (Y - v)^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$$

Polynomial Identity Testing (2)

$$Q(X) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j}, \text{ with } \alpha_k \leq \binom{k}{2}$$

Let $Y = uX + v$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q(Y) &= \sum_{j=1}^k a_j u^{-\alpha_j} (Y - v)^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\ell=0}^{\alpha_j} a_j u^{-\alpha_j} \binom{\alpha_j}{\ell} (-v)^\ell Y^{\alpha_j + \beta_j - \ell} \end{aligned}$$

Polynomial Identity Testing (2)

$$Q(X) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j}, \text{ with } \alpha_k \leq \binom{k}{2}$$

Let $Y = uX + v$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q(Y) &= \sum_{j=1}^k a_j u^{-\alpha_j} (Y - v)^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\ell=0}^{\alpha_j} a_j u^{-\alpha_j} \binom{\alpha_j}{\ell} (-v)^{\ell} Y^{\alpha_j + \beta_j - \ell} \end{aligned}$$

number of monomials, exponents $\leq \text{poly}(\text{size}(Q))$

Generalization of PIT

Theorem

Let

$$P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \prod_{i=1}^m f_i^{\alpha_{ij}}$$

where $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{K}[X]$ are given in **dense** representation, $(\alpha_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{k \times m}$ and $(a_j) \in \mathbb{K}^k$. Then one can test if P vanishes in deterministic polynomial time.

Generalization of PIT

Theorem

Let

$$P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \prod_{i=1}^m f_i^{\alpha_{ij}}$$

where $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{K}[X]$ are given in **dense** representation, $(\alpha_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{k \times m}$ and $(a_j) \in \mathbb{K}^k$. Then one can test if P vanishes in deterministic polynomial time.

Proof sketch.

- ▶ Factor out each f_i and rewrite $P = \sum_{j=1}^k b_j \prod_{i=1}^M g_i^{\beta_{ij}}$.

Generalization of PIT

Theorem

Let

$$P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \prod_{i=1}^m f_i^{\alpha_{ij}}$$

where $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{K}[X]$ are given in **dense** representation, $(\alpha_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{k \times m}$ and $(a_j) \in \mathbb{K}^k$. Then one can test if P vanishes in deterministic polynomial time.

Proof sketch.

- ▶ Factor out each f_i and rewrite $P = \sum_{j=1}^k b_j \prod_{i=1}^M g_i^{\beta_{ij}}$.
- ▶ Then $\mu_{g_i}(P) \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left(\beta_{ij} + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \frac{\deg(g_\ell)}{\deg(g_i)} \binom{k+1-j}{2} \right)$ for each g_i .

Generalization of PIT

Theorem

Let

$$P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \prod_{i=1}^m f_i^{\alpha_{ij}}$$

where $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{K}[X]$ are given in **dense** representation, $(\alpha_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{k \times m}$ and $(a_j) \in \mathbb{K}^k$. Then one can test if P vanishes in deterministic polynomial time.

Proof sketch.

- ▶ Factor out each f_i and rewrite $P = \sum_{j=1}^k b_j \prod_{i=1}^M g_i^{\beta_{ij}}$.
- ▶ Then $\mu_{g_i}(P) \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left(\beta_{ij} + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \frac{\deg(g_\ell)}{\deg(g_i)} \binom{k+1-j}{2} \right)$ for each g_i .
- ▶ Gap Theorem \rightsquigarrow write P as a sum of low-degree polynomials.

Finding linear factors

Observation + Gap Theorem

$(Y - uX - v)$ divides $P(X, Y)$

$$\iff P(X, uX + v) \equiv 0$$

Finding linear factors

Observation + Gap Theorem

$(Y - uX - v)$ divides $P(X, Y)$

$$\iff P(X, uX + v) \equiv 0$$

$$\iff P_1(X, uX + v) \equiv \dots \equiv P_s(X, uX + v) \equiv 0$$

Finding linear factors

Observation + Gap Theorem

$(Y - uX - v)$ divides $P(X, Y)$

$$\iff P(X, uX + v) \equiv 0$$

$$\iff P_1(X, uX + v) \equiv \dots \equiv P_s(X, uX + v) \equiv 0$$

$$\iff (Y - uX - v) \text{ divides each } P_t(X, Y)$$

Finding linear factors

Observation + Gap Theorem

$(Y - uX - v)$ divides $P(X, Y)$

$$\iff P(X, uX + v) \equiv 0$$

$$\iff P_1(X, uX + v) \equiv \dots \equiv P_s(X, uX + v) \equiv 0$$

$$\iff (Y - uX - v) \text{ divides each } P_t(X, Y)$$

\rightsquigarrow find linear factors of low-degree polynomials

Some details

Find linear factors $(Y - uX - v)$ of $P(X, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$

Some details

Find linear factors $(Y - uX - v)$ of $P(X, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$

1. If $u = 0$: Factors of polynomials $\sum_j a_j Y^{\beta_j}$

Some details

Find linear factors $(Y - uX - v)$ of $P(X, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$

1. If $u = 0$: Factors of polynomials $\sum_j a_j Y^{\beta_j}$ [Lenstra'99]

Some details

Find linear factors $(Y - uX - v)$ of $P(X, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$

1. If $u = 0$: Factors of polynomials $\sum_j a_j Y^{\beta_j}$ [Lenstra'99]
2. If $v = 0$: $P(X, uX) = \sum_j a_j u^{\beta_j} X^{\alpha_j + \beta_j}$

Some details

Find linear factors $(Y - uX - v)$ of $P(X, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$

1. If $u = 0$: Factors of polynomials $\sum_j a_j Y^{\beta_j}$ [Lenstra'99]
2. If $v = 0$: $P(X, uX) = \sum_j a_j u^{\beta_j} X^{\alpha_j + \beta_j}$ [Lenstra'99]

Some details

Find linear factors $(Y - uX - v)$ of $P(X, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$

1. If $u = 0$: Factors of polynomials $\sum_j a_j Y^{\beta_j}$ [Lenstra'99]
2. If $v = 0$: $P(X, uX) = \sum_j a_j u^{\beta_j} X^{\alpha_j + \beta_j}$ [Lenstra'99]
3. If $u, v \neq 0$:

Some details

Find linear factors $(Y - uX - v)$ of $P(X, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$

1. If $u = 0$: Factors of polynomials $\sum_j a_j Y^{\beta_j}$ [Lenstra'99]
2. If $v = 0$: $P(X, uX) = \sum_j a_j u^{\beta_j} X^{\alpha_j + \beta_j}$ [Lenstra'99]
3. If $u, v \neq 0$:
 - Compute $P = P_1 + \dots + P_s$ where $P_t = \sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$ with $\alpha_{\max} \leq \alpha_{\min} + \binom{k}{2}$

Some details

Find linear factors $(Y - uX - v)$ of $P(X, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$

1. If $u = 0$: Factors of polynomials $\sum_j a_j Y^{\beta_j}$ [Lenstra'99]
2. If $v = 0$: $P(X, uX) = \sum_j a_j u^{\beta_j} X^{\alpha_j + \beta_j}$ [Lenstra'99]
3. If $u, v \neq 0$:
 - Compute $P = P_1 + \dots + P_s$ where $P_t = \sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$ with $\alpha_{\max} \leq \alpha_{\min} + \binom{k}{2}$
 - Invert the roles of X and Y , to get $\beta_{\max} \leq \beta_{\min} + \binom{k}{2}$

Some details

Find linear factors $(Y - uX - v)$ of $P(X, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$

1. If $u = 0$: Factors of polynomials $\sum_j a_j Y^{\beta_j}$ [Lenstra'99]
2. If $v = 0$: $P(X, uX) = \sum_j a_j u^{\beta_j} X^{\alpha_j + \beta_j}$ [Lenstra'99]
3. If $u, v \neq 0$:
 - Compute $P = P_1 + \dots + P_s$ where $P_t = \sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$ with $\alpha_{\max} \leq \alpha_{\min} + \binom{k}{2}$
 - Invert the roles of X and Y , to get $\beta_{\max} \leq \beta_{\min} + \binom{k}{2}$
 - Apply some dense factorization algorithm [Kaltofen'82, ..., Lecerf'07]

Comments

Main computational task: Factorization of dense polynomials

Comments

Main computational task: Factorization of dense polynomials
 \implies Complexity in terms of $\text{gap}(P)$

Comments

Main computational task: Factorization of dense polynomials
 \implies Complexity in terms of $\text{gap}(P)$

- ▶ [Kaltofen-Koiran'05]: $\text{gap}(P) = \mathcal{O}(k \log k + k \log h_P)$

Comments

Main computational task: Factorization of dense polynomials
 \implies Complexity in terms of $\text{gap}(P)$

- ▶ [Kaltofen-Koiran'05]: $\text{gap}(P) = \mathcal{O}(k \log k + k \log h_P)$

$$h_P = \max_j |a_j| \text{ if } P \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$$

Comments

Main computational task: Factorization of dense polynomials
 \implies Complexity in terms of $\text{gap}(P)$

- ▶ [Kaltofen-Koiran'05]: $\text{gap}(P) = \mathcal{O}(k \log k + k \log h_P)$

$$h_P = \max_j |a_j| \text{ if } P \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$$

- ▶ Here: $\text{gap}(P) = \mathcal{O}(k^2)$

Comments

Main computational task: Factorization of dense polynomials
 \implies Complexity in terms of $\text{gap}(P)$

- ▶ [Kaltofen-Koiran'05]: $\text{gap}(P) = \mathcal{O}(k \log k + k \log h_P)$

$$h_P = \max_j |a_j| \text{ if } P \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$$

- ▶ Here: $\text{gap}(P) = \mathcal{O}(k^2)$
- ▶ Algebraic number field: only for Lenstra's algorithm

Finding multilinear factors

Lemma

Let $P = \sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} (wX + t)^{\gamma_j} \neq 0$, $uvwt \neq 0$. Then

$$\text{val}(P) \leq \max_j \left(\alpha_j + 2 \binom{k+1-j}{2} \right).$$

Finding multilinear factors

Lemma

Let $P = \sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} (wX + t)^{\gamma_j} \neq 0$, $uvwt \neq 0$. Then

$$\text{val}(P) \leq \max_j \left(\alpha_j + 2 \binom{k+1-j}{2} \right).$$

Theorem

There exists a polynomial-time algorithm to compute the **multi-linear** factors of $\sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$.

Finding multilinear factors

Lemma

Let $P = \sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} (wX + t)^{\gamma_j} \not\equiv 0$, $uvwt \neq 0$. Then

$$\text{val}(P) \leq \max_j \left(\alpha_j + 2 \binom{k+1-j}{2} \right).$$

Theorem

There exists a polynomial-time algorithm to compute the **multi-linear** factors of $\sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$.

Proof.

- ▶ $XY - (uX - vY + w)$ divides $P \iff P(X, \frac{uX+w}{X+v}) \equiv 0$.

Finding multilinear factors

Lemma

Let $P = \sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} (wX + t)^{\gamma_j} \not\equiv 0$, $uvwt \neq 0$. Then

$$\text{val}(P) \leq \max_j \left(\alpha_j + 2 \binom{k+1-j}{2} \right).$$

Theorem

There exists a polynomial-time algorithm to compute the **multi-linear** factors of $\sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j}$.

Proof.

- ▶ $XY - (uX - vY + w)$ divides $P \iff P(X, \frac{uX+w}{X+v}) \equiv 0$.
- ▶ Gap Theorem for $Q(X) = (X + v)^{\max_j \beta_j} P(X, \frac{uX+w}{X+v})$.

Positive characteristic

Valuation

$$(1 + X)^{2^n} + (1 + X)^{2^{n+1}} = X^{2^n}(X + 1) \pmod{2}$$

Valuation

$$(1 + X)^{2^n} + (1 + X)^{2^{n+1}} = X^{2^n}(X + 1) \pmod{2}$$

Theorem

Let $P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^s}[X]$, where $p > \max_j(\alpha_j + \beta_j)$.

Then $\text{val}(P) \leq \max_j(\alpha_j + \binom{k+1-j}{2})$, provided $P \not\equiv 0$.

Valuation

$$(1 + X)^{2^n} + (1 + X)^{2^{n+1}} = X^{2^n}(X + 1) \pmod{2}$$

Theorem

Let $P = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^s}[X]$, where $p > \max_j(\alpha_j + \beta_j)$.

Then $\text{val}(P) \leq \max_j(\alpha_j + \binom{k+1-j}{2})$, provided $P \neq 0$.

Proposition

$\text{wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k) \neq 0 \iff f_j$'s linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^s}[X^P]$.

Polynomial Identity Testing

Theorem

There exists a deterministic polynomial-time algorithm to test if $\sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^s}[X]$, where $p > \max_j(\alpha_j + \beta_j)$, vanishes.

Polynomial Identity Testing

Theorem

There exists a deterministic polynomial-time algorithm to test if $\sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^s}[X]$, where $p > \max_j(\alpha_j + \beta_j)$, vanishes.

Proof.

- ▶ If $uv \neq 0$: as in characteristic 0, using a Gap Theorem.

Polynomial Identity Testing

Theorem

There exists a deterministic polynomial-time algorithm to test if $\sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^s}[X]$, where $p > \max_j(\alpha_j + \beta_j)$, vanishes.

Proof.

- ▶ If $uv \neq 0$: as in characteristic 0, using a Gap Theorem.
- ▶ If $u = 0$: Evaluate $\sum_j a_j v^{\beta_j}$ using **repeated squaring**.

Polynomial Identity Testing

Theorem

There exists a deterministic polynomial-time algorithm to test if $\sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} (uX + v)^{\beta_j} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^s}[X]$, where $p > \max_j(\alpha_j + \beta_j)$, vanishes.

Proof.

- ▶ If $uv \neq 0$: as in characteristic 0, using a Gap Theorem.
- ▶ If $u = 0$: Evaluate $\sum_j a_j v^{\beta_j}$ using **repeated squaring**.
- ▶ The case $v = 0$ is similar.

Finding linear factors

Theorem

Let $P = \sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^s}[X, Y]$, where $p > \max_j(\alpha_j + \beta_j)$.
Finding factors of the form $(uX + vY + w)$ is

- ▶ doable in **randomized polynomial time** if $uvw \neq 0$;

Finding linear factors

Theorem

Let $P = \sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^s}[X, Y]$, where $p > \max_j(\alpha_j + \beta_j)$.
Finding factors of the form $(uX + vY + w)$ is

- ▶ doable in **randomized polynomial time** if $uvw \neq 0$;
- ▶ **NP-hard** under randomized reductions **otherwise**.

Finding linear factors

Theorem

Let $P = \sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^s}[X, Y]$, where $p > \max_j(\alpha_j + \beta_j)$.
Finding factors of the form $(uX + vY + w)$ is

- ▶ doable in **randomized polynomial time** if $uvw \neq 0$;
 - ▶ **NP-hard** under randomized reductions **otherwise**.
-
- ▶ Only randomized dense factorization algorithms over \mathbb{F}_{p^s}

Finding linear factors

Theorem

Let $P = \sum_j a_j X^{\alpha_j} Y^{\beta_j} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^s}[X, Y]$, where $p > \max_j(\alpha_j + \beta_j)$.
Finding factors of the form $(uX + vY + w)$ is

- ▶ doable in **randomized polynomial time** if $uvw \neq 0$;
 - ▶ **NP-hard** under randomized reductions **otherwise**.
-
- ▶ Only randomized dense factorization algorithms over \mathbb{F}_{p^s}
 - ▶ NP-hardness: reduction from **root detection** over \mathbb{F}_{p^s}
[Kipnis-Shamir'99, Bi-Cheng-Rojas'12]

Conclusion

Summary

- + **Elementary** proofs & algorithms for the factorization of lacunary bivariate polynomials

Summary

- + **Elementary** proofs & algorithms for the factorization of lacunary bivariate polynomials
 - Easier to implement

Summary

- + **Elementary** proofs & algorithms for the factorization of lacunary bivariate polynomials
 - Easier to implement
 - Two Gap Theorems: mix both!

Summary

- + **Elementary** proofs & algorithms for the factorization of lacunary bivariate polynomials
 - Easier to implement
 - Two Gap Theorems: mix both!
- + Gap Theorem independent of the height

Summary

- + **Elementary** proofs & algorithms for the factorization of lacunary bivariate polynomials
 - Easier to implement
 - Two Gap Theorems: mix both!
- + Gap Theorem independent of the height
 - **Large coefficients**

Summary

- + **Elementary** proofs & algorithms for the factorization of lacunary bivariate polynomials
 - Easier to implement
 - Two Gap Theorems: mix both!
- + Gap Theorem independent of the height
 - **Large coefficients**
 - Valid to some extent for other fields

Summary

- + **Elementary** proofs & algorithms for the factorization of lacunary bivariate polynomials
 - Easier to implement
 - Two Gap Theorems: mix both!
- + Gap Theorem independent of the height
 - **Large coefficients**
 - Valid to some extent for other fields
- + Results in large **positive characteristic**

Summary

- + **Elementary** proofs & algorithms for the factorization of lacunary bivariate polynomials
 - Easier to implement
 - Two Gap Theorems: mix both!
- + Gap Theorem independent of the height
 - **Large coefficients**
 - Valid to some extent for other fields
- + Results in large **positive characteristic**
- Still relies on [Lenstra'99]

Summary

- + **Elementary** proofs & algorithms for the factorization of lacunary bivariate polynomials
 - Easier to implement
 - Two Gap Theorems: mix both!
- + Gap Theorem independent of the height
 - **Large coefficients**
 - Valid to some extent for other fields
- + Results in large **positive characteristic**
- Still relies on [Lenstra'99]
 - Number fields

Open questions

- ▶ Can we find **low-degree factors** of **multivariate** polynomials?

Open questions

- ▶ Can we find **low-degree factors** of **multivariate** polynomials?
- ▶ And low-degree factors of **univariate** polynomials?

Open questions

- ▶ Can we find **low-degree factors** of **multivariate** polynomials?
- ▶ And low-degree factors of **univariate** polynomials?
 - ↪ Impossibility results in positive characteristic

Open questions

- ▶ Can we find **low-degree factors** of **multivariate** polynomials?
- ▶ And low-degree factors of **univariate** polynomials?
 - ↪ Impossibility results in positive characteristic
- ▶ Can we find **lacunary factors**?

Open questions

- ▶ Can we find **low-degree factors** of **multivariate** polynomials?
- ▶ And low-degree factors of **univariate** polynomials?
 - ↪ Impossibility results in positive characteristic
- ▶ Can we find **lacunary factors**?
- ▶ Can we handle polynomials in **small characteristic**?

Open questions

- ▶ Can we find **low-degree factors** of **multivariate** polynomials?
- ▶ And low-degree factors of **univariate** polynomials?
 - ↪ Impossibility results in positive characteristic
- ▶ Can we find **lacunary factors**?
- ▶ Can we handle polynomials in **small characteristic**?
- ▶ Is the correct bound for the valuation **quadratic or linear**?

Open questions

- ▶ Can we find **low-degree factors** of **multivariate** polynomials?
- ▶ And low-degree factors of **univariate** polynomials?
 - ↪ Impossibility results in positive characteristic
- ▶ Can we find **lacunary factors**?
- ▶ Can we handle polynomials in **small characteristic**?
- ▶ Is the correct bound for the valuation **quadratic or linear**?

Thank you!

arXiv:1206.4224