
TD 1 – Introduction

Exercise 1. *Perfect indistinguishability and information leak*
 Let (Enc, Dec) be a perfectly indistinguishable encryption scheme. Let \mathcal{A} be an adversary that is given $c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_k(m)$ where $k \leftarrow \mathcal{K}$ is uniformly sampled, and m is chosen by the challenger. In all three cases below, \mathcal{A} tries to compute some information on m . Prove that in each case, the probability that \mathcal{A} outputs the correct answer is $\leq \frac{1}{2}$. *Hint. Assume otherwise and prove that it contradicts the perfect indistinguishability.*

1. Information: the least significant bit $m_{[0]}$ of m .
2. Information: the parity $\bigoplus_i m_{[i]}$ of m .
3. Information: whether m has more zeroes than ones.

Exercise 2. *Perfectly indistinguishable?*

1. For the four encryption functions below where $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{M} = \{0, 1\}^n$, determine whether they define a perfectly indistinguishable encryption scheme. *Give either a proof or an adversary with non-zero advantage.*
 - i. $\text{Enc}_k^1(m) = 0 \| k \oplus m$ where $\cdot \| \cdot$ denotes string concatenation.
 - ii. $\text{Enc}_k^2(m) = k \oplus m \| m^\oplus$ where $m^\oplus = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} m_{[i]}$ is the XOR of the bits of m .
 - iii. $\text{Enc}_k^3(m) = (k \oplus m)^{\leftarrow}$ where c^{\leftarrow} is the mirror of c , defined as $c_{[i]}^{\leftarrow} = c_{[\#c-i-1]}$ for $0 \leq i < \#c$.
 - iv. $\text{Enc}_k^4(m) = k \oplus m \| k^\oplus$.
2. Consider any encryption scheme (Enc, Dec) , and redefine Enc^1 , Enc^2 , Enc^3 and Enc^4 above by replacing the one-time pad $k \oplus m$ by $\text{Enc}_k(m)$. What can be said of each the resulting scheme if:
 - i. (Enc, Dec) is a perfectly indistinguishable encryption scheme?
 - ii. (Enc, Dec) is IND-CPA secure?

Exercise 3.*Other encryption schemes*

1. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C} = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and let $\text{Enc}_k(m) = m + k \bmod n$.
 - i. Describe Dec_k such that the encryption scheme is correct.
 - ii. Let $m \in \mathcal{M}$, $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $k \leftarrow \mathcal{K}$. Prove that $\Pr[\text{Enc}_k(m) = c] = \frac{1}{n}$.
 - iii. Prove that this encryption scheme is perfectly indistinguishable.
2. Caesar cipher with key $k \in \{0, \dots, 25\}$ encrypts a letter $\ell \in \{A, \dots, Z\}$ by replacing it with the letter k positions further along the alphabet.

Example with $k = 3$: $A \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow E, \dots, X \rightarrow A, Y \rightarrow B, Z \rightarrow C$.

 - i. Prove that Caesar cipher is a perfectly indistinguishable encryption scheme for $\mathcal{M} = \{A, \dots, Z\}$.
 - ii. To encrypt a word, we apply the same encryption to each letter. Is the resulting scheme perfectly indistinguishable?
3. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C} = \Sigma^\ell$, that is messages and ciphertexts are length- ℓ words over an alphabet Σ . The key space \mathcal{K} is the set of all permutations on Σ : a key $k \in \mathcal{K}$ is a one-to-one mapping $k : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$. We define $\text{Enc}_k(m) = k(m_{[0]}) \| k(m_{[1]}) \| \dots \| k(m_{[\ell-1]})$ where $\|$ is the concatenation.
 - i. Describe Dec_k such that the encryption scheme is correct.
 - ii. Prove that this encryption scheme is not perfectly indistinguishable.
4. (*at home*) Consider a variant of the first scheme where each key k is made of ℓ independent random permutations $k_{[0]}, \dots, k_{[\ell-1]}$ of Σ . The encryption is $\text{Enc}_k(m) = k_{[0]}(m_{[0]}) \| \dots \| k_{[\ell-1]}(m_{[\ell-1]})$.
 - i. Describe Dec_k such that the encryption scheme is correct.
 - ii. Prove that this encryption scheme is perfectly indistinguishable.

Exercise 4.*One-time pad for variable length messages*

Let us consider the space $\mathcal{M} = \{0, 1\}^{\leq \ell}$ of binary string of length $\leq \ell$.

1. We consider the following encryption scheme: the key is uniformly sampled from $\mathcal{K} = \{0, 1\}^\ell$ and we define $\text{Enc}_k(m) = k_{[0, |m|]} \oplus m$ where $k_{[0, t]}$ is made of the first t bits of k .
 - i. Write the decryption algorithm.
 - ii. Prove that this scheme is not perfectly indistinguishable. Give an intuitive explanation as well as an adversary with non-zero advantage in the indistinguishability game.
2. Propose a perfectly indistinguishable encryption scheme for \mathcal{M} . Provide the encryption and decryption algorithms, and prove that it is perfectly indistinguishable (using the result on the one-time-pad).