# TD 2 – Block ciphers

### Exercise 1.

Explain why each of the following statements is wrong.

- **1.** It is never possible to attack an ideal block cipher.
- 2. A block cipher with keys of 512 bits is always secure.
- 3. There will never be any reason, technologically speaking, to use (block cipher) keys larger than 128 bits.
- 4. One should always use (block cipher) keys larger than 128 bits.
- 5. One should always use the latest-published, most recent block cipher.

## Exercise 2.

- **1.** Prove that the four following informal security definitions for a block cipher *E* are encompassed by the (S)PRP security notion. For each of them, assume you are given an efficient algorithm to break the security and build a adversary that has a large (S)PRP advantage.
  - **i.** Given c = E(k, m), computing m without knowing k is hard.
  - **ii.** Given *m*, computing c = E(k, m) without knowing *k* is hard.
  - **iii.** Given oracle access to  $E_k$ , it is hard to find k.
  - iv. Given oracle access to  $E_k^{\pm}$ , it is hard to find k.
- **2.** In the PRP experiment, assume that the challenger chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$  uniformly at random. Prove that for any adversary *A*,  $\operatorname{Adv}_{E}^{\operatorname{PRP}}(A) = |2\operatorname{Pr}[\hat{b} = b] 1|$ , where  $\hat{b}$  is the bit returned by the adversary.

### Exercise 3.

Meet-in-the-middle and PRP advantage

The meet-in-the-middle attack on double encryption allows an adversary to find the key from a pair (message, ciphertext), in time  $O(2^{\kappa})$  where  $\kappa$  is the length of each key. We translate this attack on a *lower* bound on the PRP advantage of double encryption.

Let  $E: \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$  be a block cipher, where  $\mathcal{K} = \{0, 1\}^{\kappa}$  and  $\mathcal{M} = \{0, 1\}^{n}$ . Let  $EE_{2}: \mathcal{K}^{2} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$  defined by  $EE_2(k_1||k_2,m) = E(k_2, E(k_1,m)).$ 

- **1.** Translate the meet-in-the-middle attack as an adversary  $A_{\text{MITM}}$  for the PRP experiment  $\text{Exp}_{EE_{2}}^{\text{PRP}}$ .
- **2.** Give the number of queries to the oracle and the running time of  $A_{\text{MITM}}$ . **3.** Give a lower bound on  $\text{Adv}_{EE_2}^{\text{PRP}}(A_{\text{MITM}})$ , and deduce a lower bound on  $\text{Adv}_{EE_2}^{\text{PRP}}(q, t)$  for values q and t to be determined.

**Time-memory trade-off.** Consider the following variant of the meet-in-the-middle attack: Fix a length  $\ell \leq \kappa$ ; For all  $\ell$ -bit strings  $s \in \{0, 1\}^{\ell}$ , the adversary first computes (and stores) all the  $y_{k_1} = E(k_1, m)$  for keys  $k_1$  that begins with s and then test for each  $k_2 \in \{0, 1\}^{\kappa}$  whether  $E^{-1}(k_2, c)$  belongs to the  $y_{k_1}$ 's; It stops if it finds a match, otherwise continues with the next prefix.

- i. Analyze the time and space complexity of this attack. 4.
  - **ii.** Describe the attack in the two extremal cases  $\ell = 0$  and  $\ell = \kappa$ .

## Exercise 4.

Format-preserving encryption

Consider a set  $\mathcal{M}$  of message, distinct from  $\{0,1\}^n$ : say  $\{0,1\}^{\leq n}$  or the set of prime numbers  $\leq 2^{128}$ , etc. A *format-preserving* block cipher is a block cipher for such an arbitrary set *M*.

Assume that  $\mathcal{M} \subset \{0,1\}^n$  for some n, and that we know an efficient algorithm that, given  $m \in \{0,1\}^n$ determine whether  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . The *cycle walking* algorithms convert a block cipher  $E : \{0, 1\}^{\kappa} \times \{0, 1\}^{n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n}$ to a format-preserving block cipher  $E': \{0,1\}^{\kappa} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ . To encrypt  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  using E' with a key k, compute m' = E(k, m); If  $m' \in \mathcal{M}$ , return c = m'; Otherwise iterate with m'' = E(k, m'), etc.

- **1.** Give the decryption algorithm  $E'^{-1}$  :  $\{0, 1\}^{\kappa} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ .
- **2.** Why is the existence of an efficient algorithm to test the appartenance to  $\mathcal{M}$  not sufficient for E' to be efficient?
- **3.** (\*) Prove that the expected number of calls to E in the random oracle model is  $(2^n + 1)/(|\mathcal{M}| + 1)$ . *Hint.* Prove (or admit) the following: given a size-t subset U of a size-N set S, the expected number of elements we need to sample (without replacement) from S to get an element of U is (N + 1)/(t + 1).

B. Grenet

False or false

From the slides